



---

## EthxWeb Search Results

### Search Detail:

Result=("18.4".PC.) AND (@YD >= "20000000")

2=1 : "

Documents: 1 - 277 of 277

---



Article Document 1

Davidson, Kate M; Espie, Carolyn J; Lammie, Claire

**Conducting randomised controlled trials: finding better ways to explain research to people with anti-social personality disorder who have low literacy levels.**

Criminal behaviour and mental health : CBMH 2011 Oct; 21(4): 265-78

**Abstract:** The involvement of people with anti-social personality disorder (ASPD) in clinical trials is necessary to developing effective treatment. Low literacy level, however, may be a barrier to their understanding and therefore their engagement in research.



Georgetown users check [Georgetown Journal Finder](#) for access to full text

---



Article Document 2

Tassy, Sébastien

**[On the necessity to distinguish judgment from subjective choice in the cognitive neuroscience of morality].  
= La nécessité de distinguer le jugement et le choix subjectif dans les neurosciences cognitives de la morale.**

Médecine sciences : M/S 2011 Oct; 27(10): 889-94

**Abstract:** Recently, cognitive neuroscience has shed new light on our understanding of the neural underpinning of humans' morality. These findings allow for a fundamental questioning and rethinking of the alleged dichotomy between reason and emotion, that has profoundly shaped both moral philosophy and moral psychology. Functional neuroimaging and neuropsychology studies have provided strong arguments favoring a dynamic and interdependent interaction between rational and emotional processes in the brain. Yet another fundamental issue remains largely unexplored: the dissociation between certain behaviours and the moral judgments that seem to precede them. The importance of this dissociation was highlighted in a study of psychopathic patients during which they preserved their moral judgments while frequently engaging in completely non moral behaviour. Such dissociation could result from the cognitive difference between an objective moral judgement with no personal consequence, and a subjective behavioural choice that has effective or potential personal consequences. Consequently, the results of moral dilemma experiments would differ widely depending whether they explore objective or subjective moral evaluations. That these evaluations involve two distinct neural processes should be taken into account when exploring the neural bases of human morality.



Georgetown users check [Georgetown Journal Finder](#) for access to full text

---



Article Document 3


Shorey, Ryan C; Cornelius, Tara L; Bell, Kathryn M

**Reactions to participating in dating violence research: are our questions distressing participants?**

Journal of interpersonal violence 2011 Sep; 26(14): 2890-907

**Abstract:** In recent years, there has been increased research focus on dating violence, producing important information for reducing these violent relationships. Yet Institutional Review Boards (IRBs) are often hesitant to approve research on dating violence, citing emotional distress of participants as a possible risk of participation. However, no known research has examined the reactions of research participants to questions about dating violence.

The current study examined the reactions among college students to completing a self-report measure on dating violence. Results showed that participants reported numerous positive experiences as a result of their research participation, with only mildly increased negative emotional reactions evident for some. Findings are discussed in relation to IRB proposals and appropriate informed consent for research participants.



Georgetown users check [Georgetown Journal Finder](#) for access to full text

---



Article Document 4

Ross, Lainie Friedman

**Are we adequately protecting vulnerable patients in longitudinal observational studies?**

The Journal of pediatrics 2011 Jun; 158(6): 1036-7; author reply 1037



Georgetown users check [Georgetown Journal Finder](#) for access to full text

---




Article Document 5

Braz, Marlene; Schramm, Fermin Roland

**[Bioethics and research into mental health]. = Bioética e pesquisa em saúde mental.**

Ciência & saúde coletiva 2011 Apr; 16(4): 2035-44

**Abstract:** This article discusses research in the field of mental health, examining the ethical issues involved and the use of Informed Consent. In order to achieve these objectives two main approaches were used: (1) a brief history of the different treatments and research with patients with mental illness or disability; (2) theoretical and conceptual analysis of the main problems concerning the mental health field, namely the notion of vulnerability, responsibility and autonomy and the use of placebo control groups. Two main questions prompted the reflection on whether the patient with a mental disorder can sign an Informed Consent, and whether the use of a placebo is acceptable. The existence of antagonistic and contradictory positions indicates that mental health research is hampered by biases that are difficult to overcome. Ethical investigation that may contribute to the healing of mental disorders should not however be overlooked merely because of the difficulties involved in its implementation. It must be borne in mind that changes occurring in the context of Psychiatric Reform in Brazil are gradually altering archaic concepts about what constitutes mental illness and how this group should be understood and treated.



Georgetown users check [Georgetown Journal Finder](#) for access to full text

---



Article Document 6

Rego, Marisa Palacios da Cunha e Melo de Almeida

**[Ethical issues of research with psychiatric drugs]. = Aspectos éticos da pesquisa com medicamentos psiquiátricos.**

Ciência & saúde coletiva 2011 Apr; 16(4): 2045-6; author reply 2048-9



Georgetown users check [Georgetown Journal Finder](#) for access to full text

---




Article Document 7

Valentine, Jeanette M; Rodriguez, Michael A; Lapeyrouse, Lisa M; Zhang, Muyu

**Recent intimate partner violence as a prenatal predictor of maternal depression in the first year postpartum among Latinas.**

Archives of women's mental health 2011 Apr; 14(2): 135-43

**Abstract:** The study aims to determine if recent intimate partner violence (IPV) is a prenatal risk factor for postpartum depression (PPD) among pregnant Latinas seeking prenatal care. A prospective observational study followed Latinas from pregnancy through 13 months postpartum. Prenatal predictors of PPD included depression, recent IPV exposure, remote IPV exposure, non-IPV trauma history, poverty, low social support, acculturation, high parity, and low education. Postpartum depression was measured at 3, 7, and 13 months after birth with the Beck's Depression Inventory-Fast Screen. Strength of association was evaluated using bivariate and multivariable odds

ratio analysis. Subjects were predominantly low income, monolingual Spanish, and foreign-born, with mean age of 27.7. Recent IPV, prenatal depression, non-IPV trauma, and low social support were associated with greater likelihood of PPD in bivariate analyses. Recent IPV and prenatal depression continued to show significant association with PPD in multivariate analyses, with greater odds of PPD associated with recent IPV than with prenatal depression (adjusted OR=?5.38, p?  Georgetown users check [Georgetown Journal Finder](#) for access to full text

---



**Article** Document 8

Chong, Siow Ann; Huxtable, Richard; Campbell, Alastair

**Authorizing psychiatric research: principles, practices and problems.**

Bioethics 2011 Jan; 25(1): 27-36

**Abstract:** Psychiatric research is advancing rapidly, with studies revealing new investigative tools and technologies that are aimed at improving the treatment and care of patients with psychiatric disorders. However, the ethical framework in which such research is conducted is not as well developed as we might expect. In this paper we argue that more thought needs to be given to the principles that underpin research in psychiatry and to the problems associated with putting those principles into practice. In particular, we comment on some of the difficulties posed by the twin imperatives of ensuring that we respect the autonomy and interests of the research subject and, at the same time, enable potentially beneficial psychiatric research to flourish. We do not purport to offer a blueprint for the future; we do, however, seek to advance the debate by identifying some of the key questions to which better answers are required.



Georgetown users check [Georgetown Journal Finder](#) for access to full text

---




**Article** Document 9

Lawrence, Jeanette A; Dodds, Agnes E

**Three approaches to the disjunction between psychological measurement and psychological persons: methodological and ethical considerations.**

Integrative psychological & behavioral science 2010 Dec; 44(4): 299-309

**Abstract:** In this paper, we take forward Schwarz's (2009) disjunction between measurement-apparatus-questionnaire and measurement-apparatus-man to examine how the crisis in contemporary psychology is related to assumptions about two sets of connections in research: connections between research tools, research behaviours, and psychological phenomena; and connections between researchers and researchees. By setting up a research problem with methodological and ethical implications, we describe three approaches that involve different assumptions and research activities in relation to the ways each makes these connections: Disassociated, Conventionally Connected and Persons in Dialogue Approaches. We argue that a Persons in Dialogue Approach is the most appropriate approach for a 21st Century psychology in crisis.



Georgetown users check [Georgetown Journal Finder](#) for access to full text

---



**Article** Document 10

Potter, Jonathan

**Contemporary discursive psychology: issues, prospects, and Corcoran's awkward ontology.**

The British journal of social psychology / the British Psychological Society 2010 Dec; 49(Pt 4): 657-78

**Abstract:** This paper is both an overview of the status of contemporary discursive psychology and a response to Corcoran's critical article. The first part of the paper reports on the main traditions that make up contemporary discursive psychology and how they relate to one another. Then it responds to Corcoran's claims that much of contemporary discursive psychology: (a) is over concerned with epistemic issues at the expense of ontological issues; (b) is too concerned with data purity while failing reflexively to address its own practices; (c) fails to address ethical, applied, and political issues in the way that a reformed 'ontological' discursive psychology would be able to; (d) fails to provide an adequate and rich account of relationality (of the kind offered by thinkers such as Bakhtin, Shotter, and Chouliaraki). The limitations of each point are addressed in turn, highlighting errors and confusions. The broadly epistemic focus is appropriate for the subject matter of discursive psychology; discursive psychology is less concerned with data purity than with pursuing the radical and empirically progressive possibilities in studying records

of people living their lives in everyday and institutional settings (a surprising oversight in a discipline focused on the actions of human beings) and it has a reflexive tradition going back two decades; it has a strong and distinctive focus on ethical, applied, and political issues; it has an account of relationality that is grounded in conversational materials. A single example from interaction on a child protection helpline is analysed to illustrate the way relationality, knowledge, and intersubjectivity have been made analytically tractable in contemporary discursive research.



Georgetown users check [Georgetown Journal Finder](#) for access to full text

---




Article Document 11

Legerski, John-Paul; Bunnell, Sarah L.

**The risks, benefits, and ethics of trauma-focused research participation**

Ethics & Behavior 2010 November-December; 20(6): 429-442



Georgetown users check [Georgetown Journal Finder](#) for access to full text

---



Article Document 12

Patterson, Sue E; Hart, Jozella; Weaver, Tim D

**Delusions and qualitative confusions: a dialogic collaborative exploration.**

Qualitative health research 2010 Jul; 20(7): 1008-18

**Abstract:** In this article we describe the application of a dialogic collaborative process (DCP) to the exploration of issues arising from our own interview-based research in populations prone to delusions. We used the DCP to investigate and develop a shared understanding of ethical and epistemological tensions and their potential influence on the research process. Although our meaning making involved agreement to disagree in relation to specific issues, we are united in the view that full reporting and individual and team reflexivity are the hallmarks of quality for research with these populations. In a context in which qualitative research is increasingly conducted by multidisciplinary and hierarchical teams, we take the view that the DCP offers an effective and efficient means to construct evidence-based knowledge. However, we caution that to maximize benefits, the process must be underpinned by individual and collective active self-awareness and clarity about the commitment of each participant in the dialogue.



Georgetown users check [Georgetown Journal Finder](#) for access to full text

---




Article Document 13

Brunovskis, Anette; Surtees, Rebecca

**Untold stories: biases and selection effects in research with victims of trafficking for sexual exploitation.**

International migration (Geneva, Switzerland) 2010; 48(4): 1-37

**Abstract:** Recent discussions of trafficking research have included calls for more innovative studies and new methodologies in order to move beyond the current trafficking narrative, which is often based on unrepresentative samples and overly simplified images. While new methods can potentially play a role in expanding the knowledge base on trafficking, this article argues that the solution is not entirely about applying new methods, but as much about using current methods to greater effect and with careful attention to their limitations and ethical constraints. Drawing on the authors' experience in researching trafficking issues in a number of projects over the past decade, the article outlines and exemplifies some of the methodological and ethical issues to be considered and accommodated when conducting research with trafficked persons – including unrepresentative samples; access to respondents; selection biases by "gatekeepers" and self selection by potential respondents. Such considerations should inform not only how research is undertaken but also how this information is read and understood. Moreover, many of these considerations equally apply when considering the application of new methods within this field. The article maintains that a better understanding of how these issues come into play and inform trafficking research will translate into tools for conducting improved research in this field and, by implication, new perspectives on human trafficking.




Georgetown users check [Georgetown Journal Finder](#) for access to full text



Article Document 14

Wilkes, Lesley; Mohan, Shantala; Luck, Loretta; Jackson, Debra  
**Development of a violence tool in the emergency hospital setting.**  
Nurse researcher 2010; 17(4): 70-82

**Abstract:** Violence against nurses is an on-going issue in healthcare settings, and is regularly documented in the literature. Assessing the potential for violence against nurses in the emergency department is essential to maintain their safety. The aim of this study was to develop a violence assessment tool by refining a list of predictive cues identified from both a previous study and existing literature. Using the Delphi technique, a panel of 11 expert nurse academics and clinicians developed a 37-item questionnaire and used three rounds of Delphi to refine the violence assessment questionnaire. The resulting tool comprises 17 cues of potential violence that can be easily observed and requires no prior knowledge of the perpetrators' medical history.



Georgetown users check [Georgetown Journal Finder](#) for access to full text

---



Article Document 15

Bohannon, John  
**The theory? Diet causes violence. The lab? Prison. In a more ambitious study than any before, psychologist Bernard Gesch is leading a research team hoping to replicate controversial results showing that nutritional supplements can reduce violence among prisoners.**  
Science 2009 September 25; 325(5948): 1614-1616



Georgetown users check [Georgetown Journal Finder](#) for access to full text

<http://www.sciencemag.org/content/vol325/issue5948/> (link may be outdated)

---



Article Document 16

Thomas Scott, Christopher; Owen-Smith, Jason; McCormick, Jennifer  
**We must reverse the Bush legacy of stem-cell problems [letter]**  
Nature 2009 July 2; 460(7251): 33



Georgetown users check [Georgetown Journal Finder](#) for access to full text

<http://www.nature.com/nature/archive/> (link may be outdated)

---



\*  Article Document 17

Everett, Daniel  
**Human subjects, human rights.**  
New Scientist 2009 June 6-12; 202(2711): 24




Georgetown users check [Georgetown Journal Finder](#) for access to full text

---



\*  Article Document 18

Schrag, Brian  
**Piercing the veil: ethical issues in ethnographic research.**  
Science and Engineering Ethics 2009 June; 15(2): 135-160



Georgetown users check [Georgetown Journal Finder](#) for access to full text

<http://www.springerlink.com/content/120482/> (link may be outdated)

---



\*  Article Document 19

McArthur, Dan

**Good ethics can sometimes mean better science: research ethics and the Milgram experiments**

Science and Engineering Ethics 2009 March; 15(1): 69-79



Georgetown users check [Georgetown Journal Finder](#) for access to full text

<http://www.springerlink.com/content/120482/> (link may be outdated)

---



\*  Article Document 20

Athanassoulis, Nafsika; Wilson, James

**When is deception in research ethical?**

Clinical Ethics 2009 March; 4(1): 44-49

**Abstract:** This article examines when deceptive withholding of information is ethically acceptable in research. The first half analyses the concept of deception. We argue that there are two types of accounts of deception: normative and non-normative, and argue that non-normative accounts are preferable. The second half of the article argues that the relevant ethical question which ethics committees should focus on is not whether the person from whom the information is withheld will be deceived, but rather on the reasonableness of withholding the information from the person who is deceived. We further argue that the reasonableness of withholding information is dependent on the context. The last section examines how the context of research should shape our judgements about the circumstances in which withholding information from research participants is ethically acceptable. We argue that some important features of research make it more difficult to justify withholding information in the context of research than elsewhere.



Georgetown users check [Georgetown Journal Finder](#) for access to full text

<http://ce.rsmjournals.com/> (link may be outdated)

---



\*  Article Document 21

Dorsten, Aimee-Marie; Sifford, K. Susan; Bharucha, Ashok; Mecca, Laurel Person; Wactlar, Howard

**Ethical perspectives on emerging assistive technologies: insights from focus groups with stakeholders in long-term care facilities.**

Journal of Empirical Research on Human Research Ethics 2009 March; 4(1): 25-36

**Abstract:** ASSISTIVE TECHNOLOGIES ARE RELATIVELY novel tools for research and daily care in long-term care (LTC) facilities that are faced with the burgeoning of the older adult population and dwindling staffing resources. The degree to which stakeholders in LTC facilities are receptive to the use of these technologies is poorly understood. Eighteen semi-structured focus groups and one interview were conducted with relevant groups of stakeholders at seven LTC facilities in southwestern Pennsylvania. Common themes identified across all focus groups centered on concerns for privacy, autonomy, cost, and safety associated with implementation of novel technologies. The relative importance of each theme varied by stakeholder group as well as the perceived severity of cognitive and/or physical disability. Our findings suggest that ethical issues are critical to acceptance of novel technologies by their end users, and that stakeholder groups are interdependent and require shared communication about the acceptance of these emerging technologies.



Georgetown users check [Georgetown Journal Finder](#) for access to full text

---



News Document 22

Sommer, Jeff

**When humans need a nudge toward rationality**



<http://www.nytimes.com> (link may be outdated)

---



Article Document 23

Maloney, Dennis M.

**Social and behavioral studies eligible for expedited review**

Human Research Report 2009 January; 24(1): 4



Georgetown users check [Georgetown Journal Finder](#) for access to full text

---



\*  Article Document 24

Benjamin, Ludy T.; Simpson, Jeffrey A.

**The power of the situation: the impact of Milgram's obedience studies on personality and social psychology.**

American Psychologist 2009 January; 64(1): 12-19



Georgetown users check [Georgetown Journal Finder](#) for access to full text

---



\*  Article Document 25

Miller, Arthur G.

**Reflections on "Replicating Milgram" (Burger, 2009).**

American Psychologist 2009 January; 64(1): 20-27



Georgetown users check [Georgetown Journal Finder](#) for access to full text

---



Article Document 26

Elms, Alan C.

**Obedience lite.**

American Psychologist 2009 January; 64(1): 32-36



Georgetown users check [Georgetown Journal Finder](#) for access to full text

---



\*  Article Document 27

Blass, Thomas

**From New Haven to Santa Clara: a historical perspective on the Milgram obedience experiments.**

American Psychologist 2009 January; 64(1): 37-45



Georgetown users check [Georgetown Journal Finder](#) for access to full text

---




Article Document 28

Dearman, David T.; Beard, James E.

**Ethical issues in accounting and economics experimental research: inducing strategic misrepresentation**

Ethics and Behavior 2009 January-February; 19(1): 51-59



Georgetown users check [Georgetown Journal Finder](#) for access to full text



Article Document 29

Allen, Brian

**Are researchers ethically obligated to report suspected maltreatment? A critical analysis of opposing perspectives**

Ethics and Behavior 2009 January-February; 19(1): 15-24



Georgetown users check [Georgetown Journal Finder](#) for access to full text

---



\*  Article Document 30

Burger, Jerry M.

**Replicating Milgram. Would people still obey today?**

American Psychologist 2009 January; 64(1): 1-11



Georgetown users check [Georgetown Journal Finder](#) for access to full text

---

<https://www.scu.edu/cas/psychology/faculty/upload/Replicating-Milgrampdf.pdf> (link may be outdated)

---



\*  News Document 31

Cohen, Adam

**Four decades after Milgram, we're still willing to inflict pain [op-ed]**

New York Times 2008 December 29; p. A24



<http://www.nytimes.com> (link may be outdated)

---




\*  Article Document 32

Wagman, Jennifer; Francisco, Leilani; Glass, Nancy; Sharps, Phyllis W; Campbell, Jacquelyn C.

**Ethical challenges of research on and care for victims of intimate partner violence.**

Journal of Clinical Ethics 2008 Winter; 19(4): 371-380



Georgetown users check [Georgetown Journal Finder](#) for access to full text

---




\*  Article Document 33

Rasmussen, Lisa M.

**Not all research is equal: taking social science research into account.**

American Journal of Bioethics 2008 November; 8(11): 17-18



Georgetown users check [Georgetown Journal Finder](#) for access to full text

---

<http://bioethics.net> (link may be outdated)

---



Article Document 34

Öztunç, Gursel

**Examination of incidents of workplace verbal abuse against nurses**

Journal of Nursing Care Quality 2006 October-December; 21(4): 360-365





Georgetown users check [Georgetown Journal Finder](#) for access to full text

---




Article Document 35

O'Byrne, Patrick; Holmes, Dave

**Researching marginalized populations: ethical concerns about ethnography.**

Canadian journal of nursing research = Revue canadienne de recherche en sciences infirmières 2008 September; 40(3): 144-159



Georgetown users check [Georgetown Journal Finder](#) for access to full text

---




\*  Article Document 36

Surkan, P.J.; Steineck, G.; Kreicbergs, U.

**Perceptions of a mental health questionnaire: the ethics of using population-based controls**

Journal of Medical Ethics 2008 July; 34(7): 545-547

**Abstract:** Mental health surveys are used extensively in epidemiological research worldwide. The ethical questions that arise regarding their risk of causing psychological distress or other potential harm have not been studied in the general population. We have investigated how study participants serving as controls in a population-based study perceived an anonymous postal questionnaire focusing on mental health and wellbeing. Parents were contacted from the Swedish Census Bureau as part of a larger follow-up study on palliative care conducted in 2001. Eligible parents had a child of the same gender, year of birth and were from the same counties in Sweden as parents who had lost a child to cancer. Five percent reported being negatively affected. The principle negative effect on participants was that self-reflection reminded them of their difficulties. Of the 418 respondents, 52% reported that they were positively affected by study participation and 95% perceived the inquiry as valuable. These findings support the use of population-based controls in future research.



Georgetown users check [Georgetown Journal Finder](#) for access to full text

---

<http://www.jmedethics.com> (link may be outdated)

---



\*  Article Document 37

Aldhous, Peter

**Sex, drugs and surveys**

New Scientist 2008 June 7-13; 198(2659): 20



Georgetown users check [Georgetown Journal Finder](#) for access to full text

---




\*  Article Document 38

Ott, Mary A.

**It takes two to tango: ethical issues raised by the study of topical microbicides with adolescent dyads.**

Journal of Adolescent Health 2008 June; 42(6): 541-542



Georgetown users check [Georgetown Journal Finder](#) for access to full text

---




Article Document 39

Broadhead, Robert S.

**Notes on a cautionary (tall) tale about respondent-driven sampling: a critique of Scott's ethnography.**

International Journal on Drug Policy 2008 June; 19(3): 235-237; discussion 246-247



Georgetown users check [Georgetown Journal Finder](#) for access to full text

---



**Article** Document 40

Ouellet, Lawrence J.

**Cautionary comments on an ethnographic tale gone wrong.**

International Journal on Drug Policy 2008 June; 19(3): 238-240; discussion 246-247



Georgetown users check [Georgetown Journal Finder](#) for access to full text

---



**Article** Document 41

Lansky, Amy; Mastro, Timothy D.

**Using respondent-driven sampling for behavioural surveillance: response to Scott.**

International Journal on Drug Policy 2008 June; 19(3): 241-243; discussion 246-247



Georgetown users check [Georgetown Journal Finder](#) for access to full text

---



**Article** Document 42

Prachand, Nikhil G.; Benbow, Nanette

**Clarifying the ethnographer's role in Chicago's HIV behavioural surveillance-injecting drug users cycle, 2005: response to Scott.**

International Journal on Drug Policy 2008 June; 19(3): 244-245; discussion 246-247



Georgetown users check [Georgetown Journal Finder](#) for access to full text

---



\*  **Article** Document 43

Camevale, Franco A.; Macdonald, Mary Ellen; Bluebond-Langner, Myra; McKeever, Patricia

**Using participant observation in pediatric health care settings: ethical challenges and solutions.**

Journal of Child Health Care 2008 March; 12(1): 18-32



Georgetown users check [Georgetown Journal Finder](#) for access to full text

---



\*  **Article** Document 44

Guerriero, Iara Coelho Zito; Dallari, Sueli Gandolfi

**The need for adequate ethical guidelines for qualitative health research.**

Ciência and Saúde Coletiva 2008 March-April; 13(2): 303-311



Georgetown users check [Georgetown Journal Finder](#) for access to full text

---

<http://www.scielo.br/> (link may be outdated)

---



\*  **Article** Document 45

van den Hoonaard, Will C.

**On ethics regimes and the problem of maintaining the face of qualitative research: a commentary on Iara C. Z. Guerriero's and Sueli Dallari's paper.**

Ciência and Saúde Coletiva 2008 March-April; 13(2): 312-315



Georgetown users check [Georgetown Journal Finder](#) for access to full text

<http://www.scielo.br/> (link may be outdated)

---




\*  Article Document 46

Angelo, Margareth

**Rigor and ethics: challenges in qualitative research**

Ciência and Saúde Coletiva 2008 March-April; 13(2): 318-320



Georgetown users check [Georgetown Journal Finder](#) for access to full text

<http://www.scielo.br/> (link may be outdated)

---



\*  Article Document 47

Macedo, Rosa Maria Stefanini de

**Ethical guidelines and qualitative research on health**

Ciência and Saúde Coletiva 2008 March-April; 13(2): 320-324



Georgetown users check [Georgetown Journal Finder](#) for access to full text

<http://www.scielo.br/> (link may be outdated)

---



\*  Article Document 48

Leopoldo e Silva, Franklin

**Methodological procedures and ethical decisions**

Ciência and Saúde Coletiva 2008 March-April; 13(2): 324-328



Georgetown users check [Georgetown Journal Finder](#) for access to full text

<http://www.scielo.br/> (link may be outdated)

---




\*  Article Document 49

Minayo, Maria Cecília de Souza

**Anthropological contributions for thinking and acting in the health area and its ethical dilemmas**

Ciência and Saúde Coletiva 2008 March-April; 13(2): 329-339



Georgetown users check [Georgetown Journal Finder](#) for access to full text

<http://www.scielo.br/> (link may be outdated)

---



Article Document 50

Schmidt, Maria Luisa Sandoval

**Pesquisa participante e formação ética do pesquisador na área da saúde = Participative research and health researcher's ethical formation**

Ciência and Saúde Coletiva 2008 March-April ; 13(2): 391-398



Georgetown users check [Georgetown Journal Finder](#) for access to full text

<http://www.scielo.br/> (link may be outdated)

---



Article Document 51

Diniz, Debora.

**Ética na pesquisa em ciências humanas – novos desafios = Research ethics in social sciences – new challenges**

Ciência and Saúde Coletiva 2008 March-April; 13(2): 417-426



Georgetown users check [Georgetown Journal Finder](#) for access to full text

<http://www.scielo.br/> (link may be outdated)

---




\*  Article Document 52

Benham, Bryan

**The ubiquity of deception and the ethics of deceptive research**

Bioethics 2008 March; 22(3): 147-156

**Abstract:** Does the fact that deception is widely practised - even though there is a general prohibition against deception - provide insight into the ethics of deceptive methods in research, especially for social-behavioral research? I answer in the affirmative. The ubiquity of deception argument, as I will call it, points to the need for a concrete and nuanced understanding of the variety of deceptive practices, and thus promises an alternative route of analysis for why some deception may be permissible in social-behavioral research. As an alternative argument it also promises to break the stalemate that emerges in debates on the ethics of deceptive methods in social-behavioral research. In the current paper I (1) motivate and articulate the ubiquity argument in order to clarify the significance of ubiquity and discharge some initial objections. Then, on the recommendations of the ubiquity argument, I (2) highlight the importance of interpersonal relationships for understanding the ethics of deception. Following this insight I (3) provide an analysis of several features of the researcher-participant relationship relevant to the understanding of the ethics of deception in research. I then (4) conclude the argument with some recommendations for the ethical use of deceptive methods in social-behavioral research.



Georgetown users check [Georgetown Journal Finder](#) for access to full text

---




Article Document 53

Scott, Greg

**"They got their program, and I got mine": a cautionary tale concerning the ethical implications of using respondent-driven sampling to study injection drug users**

International Journal on Drug Policy 2008 February; 19(1): 42-51



Georgetown users check [Georgetown Journal Finder](#) for access to full text

---




\*  Article Document 54

Hertwig, Ralph; Ortmann, Andreas

**Deception in experiments: revisiting the arguments in its defense**

Ethics and Behavior 2008 January-March; 18(1): 59-92

**Abstract:** In psychology, deception is commonly used to increase experimental control. Yet, its use has provoked concerns that it raises participants' suspicions, prompts second-guessing of experimenters' true intentions, and ultimately distorts behavior and endangers the control it is meant to achieve. Over time, these concerns regarding the methodological costs of the use of deception have been subjected to empirical analysis. We review the evidence stemming from these studies.



Georgetown users check [Georgetown Journal Finder](#) for access to full text



\*  **Book** Document 55

DuBois, James M.

**ETHICS IN MENTAL HEALTH RESEARCH: PRINCIPLES, GUIDANCE, AND CASES**

Oxford/New York: Oxford University Press, 2008. 236 p.

Call number: [RC337 .D73 2008](#) FIND IN A LIBRARY®

---



\*  **Article** Document 56

Strauss, David

**Social-behavioral research in not without risk**

Protecting Human Subjects 2008; (16): 14-15

FIND IN A LIBRARY®

Georgetown users check [Georgetown Journal Finder](#) for access to full text

<http://www.science.doe.gov/ober/humsubj/> (link may be outdated)

---



\*  **Chapter** Document 57

Levine, Felice J.; Skedsvold, Paula R.

**Behavioral and social science research**

In: Emanuel, Ezekiel J.; Grady, Christine; Crouch, Robert A.; Lie, Reidar K.; Miller, Franklin G.; Wendler, David, eds. The Oxford Textbook of Clinical Research Ethics. Oxford; New York: Oxford University Press, 2008: 336-355

Call number: [R853 .H8 O96 2008](#)

---



\*  **Article** Document 58

**How can we draw the line between clinical care and medical research.**

PLoS Medicine 2007 November 27; 4(11): e340

FIND IN A LIBRARY®

Georgetown users check [Georgetown Journal Finder](#) for access to full text

---



\*  **Article** Document 59

Fischer, Henry W.

**Protecting human subjects from themselves . . . after the disaster**

Protecting Human Subjects 2007 November (15): 20-21

FIND IN A LIBRARY®

Georgetown users check [Georgetown Journal Finder](#) for access to full text

<http://humansubjects.energy.gov/doe-resources/newsletter/> (link may be outdated)

---



\*  **Article** Document 60

Barsky, Lauren E.; Donner, William R.

**Lessons from the field: human needs often complicate ethical duties in disaster research. Hurricane Katrina investigation**

Protecting Human Subjects 2007 November (15): 18-19

FIND IN A LIBRARY®

Georgetown users check [Georgetown Journal Finder](#) for access to full text

<http://humansubjects.energy.gov/doe-resources/newsletter/> (link may be outdated)



**News** Document 61

Butler, Declan

**Data sharing threatens privacy [news]**

Nature 2007 October 11; 449(7163): 644-645



Georgetown users check [Georgetown Journal Finder](#) for access to full text

<http://www.nature.com> (link may be outdated)

---



\*  **Article** Document 62

Winship, Gary

**The ethics of reflective research in single case study inquiry**

Perspectives in Psychiatric Care 2007 October; 43(4): 174-182



Georgetown users check [Georgetown Journal Finder](#) for access to full text

---




**Article** Document 63

Bowen, Deborah J.; Fann, Jesse R.; Andersen, Robyn; Rhew, Isaac C.; Gralow, Julie R.; Lewis, Frances M.; Hunt, Julie R.; Ankerst, Donna P.

**Recruiting patients with breast cancer and their families to behavioral research in the post-HIPAA period**

Oncology Nursing Forum 2007 September; 34(5): 1049-1054



Georgetown users check [Georgetown Journal Finder](#) for access to full text

---



\*  **Article** Document 64

Jacobson, Nora; Gewurtz, Rebecca; Haydon, Emma

**Ethical review of interpretive research: problems and solutions**

IRB: Ethics and Human Research 2007 September-October; 29(5): 1-8



Georgetown users check [Georgetown Journal Finder](#) for access to full text

---




\*  **Article** Document 65

Flagel, David C.; Best, Lisa A.; Hunter, Aren C.

**Perceptions of stress among students participating in psychology research: a Canadian survey**

Journal of Empirical Research on Human Research Ethics 2007 September; 2(3): 61-67

**Abstract:** It has been shown that properly conducted interviews in sensitive clinical contexts are negligibly stressful. The present study sought to extend these results and determine the perception of stress by research participants in nonclinical settings. Students enrolled in first year psychology courses typically have the option to receive class credit for research participation in studies assumed to pose minimal risk to participants. The perceptions of 101 student volunteers were examined to determine if they felt that research participation was stressful and, if so, what components of the process caused their stress. Participants completed a short survey indicating the reasons they served as research participants and the degree to which participation was stressful. They indicated that research participation was a valuable learning experience and the majority felt no stress associated with participation. Stress was reported by some due to concerns about confidentiality and evaluation by others of their personal performance. In addition, the majority of students reported having no knowledge of the ethical review process that preceded their participation. It is suggested that students should be informed of the ethical review process.



Georgetown users check [Georgetown Journal Finder](#) for access to full text



\*  Article Document 66

Oczak, Malgorzata; Niedzwienska; Agnieszka

**Debriefing in deceptive research: a proposed new procedure**

Journal of Empirical Research on Human Research Ethics 2007 September; 2(3): 49-59

**Abstract:** This study examines the effectiveness of a new debriefing procedure designed specifically to address possible negative consequences of participation in deceptive research. The new debriefing includes an extended educational procedure that enables participants to gain insight into relevant deceptive practices and how to recognize and deal effectively with them, and thus end their participation with a positive and beneficial learning experience. The usefulness of the new tool was analyzed in a suggestibility study in which we compared the effects of the standard debriefing and the new procedure in terms of participants' mood, self-esteem, and attitudes toward psychological experiments. The most important result was that at the end of the study subjects who received the new debriefing system expressed more positive mood and more positive attitudes toward research than those who received the standard debriefing system. The implications of these results for generalizing to other kinds of deception research are discussed.



Georgetown users check [Georgetown Journal Finder](#) for access to full text

---



\*  Article Document 67

Matsuyama, Robin K.; Lyckholm, Laurie J.; Lowe, M. Elizabeth; Edmond, Michael B.

**Beyond the IRB: Examining common but rarely explored ethical issues in psychosocial research**

Monash Bioethics Review 2007 July; 26(3, Ethics Committee Supplement): 49-59



Georgetown users check [Georgetown Journal Finder](#) for access to full text

---



\*  Article Document 68

Kim, Scott y.H.; Appelbaum, Paul S.; Swan, Jeffrey; Stroup, T. Scott; McEvoy, Joseph P.; Goff, Donald C.; Jeste, Dilip V.; Lamberti, J. Steven; Leibovici, Adrian; Caine, Eric D.

**Determining when impairment constitutes incapacity for informed consent in schizophrenia research**

British Journal of Psychiatry 2007 July; 191; 38-43



Georgetown users check [Georgetown Journal Finder](#) for access to full text

---



\*  Article Document 69

Weitlauf, Julie C.; Ruzek, Josef I.; Westrup, Darrah A.; Lee, Tina; Keller, Jennifer

**Empirically assessing participant perceptions of the research experience in a randomized clinical trial: the women's self-defense project as a case example**

Journal of Empirical Research on Human Research Ethics 2007 June; 2(2): 11-24

**Abstract:** A growing body of empirical literature has systematically documented the reactions to research participation among participants in trauma focused research. To date, the available data has generally presented an optimistic picture regarding participants' ability to tolerate and even find benefit from their participation. However, this literature has been largely limited to cross-sectional designs. No extant literature has yet examined the perceptions of participants with psychiatric illness who are participating in randomized clinical trials (RCTs) designed to evaluate the efficacy or effectiveness of novel trauma treatments. The authors posit that negative experiences of, or poor reactions to, the research experience in the context of a trauma-focused RCT may elevate the risk of participation. Indeed, negative reactions may threaten to undermine the potential therapeutic gains of participants and promoting early drop out from the trial. Empirically assessing reactions to research participation at the pilot-study phase of a clinical trial can both provide investigators and IRB members alike with empirical evidence of some likely risks of participation. In turn, this information can be used to help shape the design and recruitment methodology of the full-scale trial. Using data from the pilot study of the Women's Self-Defense Project as a case illustration, we provide readers with concrete suggestions for empirically assessing participants' perceptions of risk involved in their participation in behaviorally oriented clinical trials.



Georgetown users check [Georgetown Journal Finder](#) for access to full text

---



\*  **Article** Document 70

Gleaves, David H.; Rucklidge, Julia J.; Follette, Victoria M.

**What are we teaching our students by not asking about abuse?**

American Psychologist 2007 May-June; 62(4): 326-327; discussion 330-322



Georgetown users check [Georgetown Journal Finder](#) for access to full text

---



\*  **Article** Document 71

Ullman, Sarah E.

**Asking research participants about trauma and abuse.**

American Psychologist 2007 May-June; 62(4): 329-330; discussion 330-332



Georgetown users check [Georgetown Journal Finder](#) for access to full text

---



\*  **Article** Document 72

Becker-Blease, Kathryn A.; Freyd, Jennifer J.

**The ethics of asking about abuse and the harm of "don't ask, don't tell".**

American Psychologist 2007 May-June; 62(4): 330-332



Georgetown users check [Georgetown Journal Finder](#) for access to full text

---



\*  **Article** Document 73

Shklarov, Svetlana

**Double vision uncertainty: the bilingual researcher and the ethics of cross-language research.**

Qualitative Health Research 2007 April; 17(4): 529-538



Georgetown users check [Georgetown Journal Finder](#) for access to full text

---



\*  **Article** Document 74

NIMH Collaborative HIV/STD Prevention Trial Group

**Ethical issues in the NIMH collaborative HIV/STD prevential trial**

AIDS 2007 April; 21(Supplement 2): S69-S80



Georgetown users check [Georgetown Journal Finder](#) for access to full text

---




\*  **Article** Document 75

Burke, John; Diehl, Dawn; Durosinmi, Brenda; McGinnis, Troy A.

**The privacy of stigmatized persons**

Journal of Empirical Research on Human Research Ethics 2007 March; 2(1): 65-67



Georgetown users check [Georgetown Journal Finder](#) for access to full text

---





Article Document 76

Hecht, Jeff

**Getting under your skull [review of Mind Wars, by Jonathan Moreno]**

New Scientist 2007 January 20-26; 193(2587): 45



Georgetown users check [Georgetown Journal Finder](#) for access to full text

---



\*  Article Document 77

Watts, Geoff

**Quite reasonably emotional [commentary]**

Lancet 2007 January 13-19; 369(9556): 90-91



Georgetown users check [Georgetown Journal Finder](#) for access to full text

---

<http://www.thelancet.com/journal> (link may be outdated)

---



\*  Article Document 78

Lounsbury, David W.; Reynolds, Thomas C.; Rapkin, Bruce D.; Robson, Mark E.; Ostroff, Jamie

**Protecting the privacy of third-party information: recommendations for social and behavioral health researchers.**

Social Science and Medicine 2007 January; 64(1): 213-222



Georgetown users check [Georgetown Journal Finder](#) for access to full text

---



\*  Article Document 79

Zimbardo, Philip

**When good people do evil**

Yale Alumni Magazine 2007 January-February; 70(3): 40-47



Georgetown users check [Georgetown Journal Finder](#) for access to full text

---



\*  Chapter Document 80

Zimbardo, Philip G.

**The SPE: ethics and extensions**

In his: The Lucifer Effect: Understanding How Good People Turn Evil. New York: Random House, 2007: 229-257

Call number: [BF789 .E94 Z56 2007](#)

---



\*  Chapter Document 81

Jewkes, Rachel; Wagman, Jennifer; Wasunna, Angela

**[Protecting subjects in a study of domestic violence in South Africa](#)**

In: Lavery, James V.; Grady, Christine; Wahl, Elizabeth R.; Emanuel, Ezekiel J., eds. Ethical Issues in International Biomedical Research: A Casebook. Oxford; New York: Oxford University Press, 2007: 347-361

Call number: [R852 .E826 2007](#)

---



\*  Chapter Document 82

Stein, Edward

**Ethical, legal, social, and political implications of scientific research on sexual orientation**

In: Meyer, Ilan H.; Northridge, Mary E., eds. *The Health of Sexual Minorities: Public Health Perspectives on Lesbian, Gay, Bisexual, and Transgender Populations*. New York: Springer, 2007: 130-148

Call number: [HV1449 .H42 2007](#)

---




\*  **Article** Document 83

Ashcraft, Mark H.; Krause, Jeremy A.

**Social and behavioral researchers' experiences with their IRBs**

*Ethics and Behavior* 2007; 17(1): 1-17

**Abstract:** A national survey on researchers' experiences with their institutional review boards (IRBs) is presented, focused exclusively on social and behavioral researchers. A wide range of experiences is apparent in the data, especially in terms of turnaround time for submitted protocols, incidence of data collection without prior IRB approval, and stated reasons for "going solo." Sixty-two percent felt that the turnaround time they typically experience is "reasonable," and 44% said they had not experienced long delays in obtaining approval. However, 48% of respondents reported either conducting a project without IRB approval or modifying an existing project without IRB approval, with anticipated time for approval being the dominant reason offered for doing so. This adds a new dimension to the widely discussed "national IRB crisis" (e.g., Illinois White Paper, 2005). The article concludes with 2 preliminary recommendations for IRB reform.



Georgetown users check [Georgetown Journal Finder](#) for access to full text

---




\*  **Article** Document 84

Ross, Colin A.

**Ethics of CIA and military contracting by psychiatrists and psychologists**

*Ethical Human Psychology and Psychiatry* 2007; 9(1): 25-34



Georgetown users check [Georgetown Journal Finder](#) for access to full text

---



\*  **Article** Document 85

Øhrstrøm, Peter; Dyhrberg, Johan

**Ethical problems inherent in psychological research based on Internet communication as stored information**

*Theoretical Medicine and Bioethics* 2007; 28(3): 221-241

**Abstract:** This paper deals with certain ethical problems inherent in psychological research based on Internet communication as stored information. Section 1 contains an analysis of research on Internet debates. In particular, it takes into account a famous example of deception for psychology research purposes. In section 2, the focus is on research on personal data in texts published on the Internet. Section 3 includes an attempt to formulate some ethical principles and guidelines, which should be regarded as fundamental in research on stored information.



Georgetown users check [Georgetown Journal Finder](#) for access to full text

---



\*  **Article** Document 86

Holm, Søren; Bortolotti, Lisa

**Large scale surveys for policy formation and research—a study in inconsistency**

*Theoretical Medicine and Bioethics* 2007; 28(3): 205-220

**Abstract:** In this paper we analyse the degree to which a distinction between social science and public health research and other non-research activities can account for differences between a number of large scale social surveys performed at the national and European level. The differences we will focus on are differences in how participation is elicited and how data are used for government, research and other purposes. We will argue that the research / non-research distinction does not account for the identified differences in recruitment or use and that there are no other convincing justifications. We argue that this entails that eliciting participation by coercion or

manipulation becomes very difficult to justify.



Georgetown users check [Georgetown Journal Finder](#) for access to full text

---



**Article** Document 87

Slater, Mel; Antley, Angus; Davison, Adam; Swapp, David; Guger, Christoph; Barker, Chris; Pistrang, Nancy; Sanchez-Vives; Maria V.

**A virtual reprise of the Stanley Milgram obedience experiments**

Plos One 2006 December; 9(1): e39: 1-9



Georgetown users check [Georgetown Journal Finder](#) for access to full text

---



**News** Document 88

Bohannon, John

**Tracking people's electronic footprints [news]**

Science 2006 November 10; 314(5801): 914-916



Georgetown users check [Georgetown Journal Finder](#) for access to full text

<http://www.sciencemag.org> (link may be outdated)

---



**Article** Document 89

Jennings, Charles

**Battlefield between the ears [review of Mind Wars: Brain Research and National Defense, by Jonathan D. Moreno]**

Nature 2006 October 26; 443(7114): 911



Georgetown users check [Georgetown Journal Finder](#) for access to full text

<http://www.nature.com> (link may be outdated)

---



**Article** Document 90

Bullock, Linda; Bloom, Tina; Davis, Jan; Kilburn, Erin; Curry, Mary Ann

**Abuse disclosure in privately and medicaid-funded pregnant women.**

Journal of Midwifery and Women's Health 2006 September-October; 51(5):361-369



Georgetown users check [Georgetown Journal Finder](#) for access to full text

---




\*  **Article** Document 91

Newman, Elana; Risch, Elizabeth; Kassam-Adams, Nancy

**Ethical issues in trauma-related research: a review**

Journal of Empirical Research on Human Research Ethics 2006 September; 1(3): 29-46



Georgetown users check [Georgetown Journal Finder](#) for access to full text

---




**Article** Document 92

Grayling, A.C.

**Laboratory life [review of World as Laboratory: Experiments with Mice, Mazes, and Men, by Rebecca Lemov]**

Lancet 2006 August 12-18; 368(9535): 571-572



Georgetown users check [Georgetown Journal Finder](#) for access to full text

<http://www.thelancet.com/journal> (link may be outdated)

---



\*  **Article** Document 93

Chadwick, David; Privitera, Michael

**How skeptical should we be about industry-sponsored studies? [editorial]**

Neurology 2006 August 8; 67(3): 378-379



Georgetown users check [Georgetown Journal Finder](#) for access to full text

---



\*  **Article** Document 94

Bortolotti, Lisa; Mameli, Matteo

**Deception in psychology: moral costs and benefits of unsought self-knowledge**

Accountability in Research 2006 July-September; 13(3): 259-275

**Abstract:** Is it ethically permissible to use deception in psychological experiments? We argue that, provided some requirements are satisfied, it is possible to use deceptive methods without producing significant harm to research participants and without any significant violation of their autonomy. We also argue that methodological deception is at least at the moment the only effective means by which one can acquire morally significant information about certain behavioral tendencies. Individuals in general, and research participants in particular, gain self-knowledge which can help them improve their autonomous decision-making. The community gains collective self-knowledge that, once shared, can play a role in shaping education, informing policies and in general creating a more efficient and just society.



Georgetown users check [Georgetown Journal Finder](#) for access to full text

---



\*  **Article** Document 95

Rutherford, Alexandra

**The social control of behavior control: behavior modification, Individual Rights, and research ethics in America, 1971-1979**

Journal of the History of the Behavioral Sciences 2006 Summer; 42(3): 203-220



Georgetown users check [Georgetown Journal Finder](#) for access to full text

---



\*  **Article** Document 96

Broom, Alex

**Ethical issues in social research**

Complementary Therapies in Medicine 2006 June; 14(2): 151-156



Georgetown users check [Georgetown Journal Finder](#) for access to full text

---



\*  **Article** Document 97

Dawson, Angus J.

**A messy business: qualitative research and ethical review**

Clinical Ethics 2006 June; 1(2): 114-116



Georgetown users check [Georgetown Journal Finder](#) for access to full text

---



\*  **Article** Document 98

Hallowell, Nina; Lawton, Julia

**Seeking ethical approval: opening up the lines of communication**

Clinical Ethics 2006 June; 1(2): 109-113



Georgetown users check [Georgetown Journal Finder](#) for access to full text

---



**Article** Document 99

Cohen, Adam B.

**On gratitude**

Social Justice Research 2006 June; 19(2): 254-276



Georgetown users check [Georgetown Journal Finder](#) for access to full text

---




**Article** Document 100

Brosnan, Sarah F.

**At a crossroads of disciplines**

Social Justice Research 2006 June; 19(2): 218-227



Georgetown users check [Georgetown Journal Finder](#) for access to full text

---



**Article** Document 101

Keltner, Dacher; Horberg, E.J.; Oveis, Christopher

**Emotional intuitions and moral play**

Social Justice Research 2006 June; 19(2): 208-217



Georgetown users check [Georgetown Journal Finder](#) for access to full text

---



\*  **Article** Document 102

Edwards, Valerie J.; Dube, Shanta R.; Felitti, Vincent J.; Anda, Robert F.

**It's ok to ask about past abuse.**

American Psychologist 2006 April; 62(4): 327-328; discussion 330-332



Georgetown users check [Georgetown Journal Finder](#) for access to full text

---



**Article** Document 103

Popma, Arne; Raine, Adrian

**Will future forensic assessment be neurobiologic?**

Child and Adolescent Psychiatric Clinics of North America 2006 April; 15(2): 429-444



Georgetown users check [Georgetown Journal Finder](#) for access to full text

---



\*  Article Document 104

Becker-Blease, Kathryn A.; Freyd, Jennifer J.

**Research participants telling the truth about their lives: the ethics of asking and not asking about abuse**

American Psychologist 2006 April; 61(3): 218-226



Georgetown users check [Georgetown Journal Finder](#) for access to full text

---



\*  Article Document 105

Fry, C.L.; Madden, A.; Brogan, D.; Loff, B.

**Australian resources for ethical participatory processes in public health research [letter]**

Journal of Medical Ethics 2006 March; 32(3): 186



Georgetown users check [Georgetown Journal Finder](#) for access to full text

<http://www.jmedethics.com> (link may be outdated)

---



\*  Article Document 106

Epstein, William M.

**The lighter side of deception research in the social sciences: social work as comedy**

Journal of Information Ethics 2006 Spring; 15(1): 11-26



Georgetown users check [Georgetown Journal Finder](#) for access to full text

---



Article Document 107

Martin, J.

**Recherche sur les embryons et les foetus humains: à propos de la prise de position de la Commission nationale d'éthique [Embryo research and the human fetus: on the position taken by the National Ethics Commission]**

Revue Médicale Suisse 2006 February 15; 2(53): 479-481



Georgetown users check [Georgetown Journal Finder](#) for access to full text

---



\*  Article Document 108

Yanos, Philip T.; Ziedonis, Douglas M.

**The patient-oriented clinician-researcher: advantages and challenges of being a double agent**

Psychiatric Services 2006 February; 57(2): 249-253



Georgetown users check [Georgetown Journal Finder](#) for access to full text

---



\*  Article Document 109

McIlfratrick, S.; Sullivan, K.; McKenna, H.

**Exploring the ethical issues of the research interview in the cancer context**

European Journal of Oncology Nursing 2006 February; 10(1): 39-47



Georgetown users check [Georgetown Journal Finder](#) for access to full text

---



A/V Document 110

**Dialogues in Behavioral Health Research Ethics (2006)**

Ethics in Mental Health Research

**Abstract:** "Dialogues in Behavioral Health Research Ethics is a 4-hour DVD consisting of eight 30-minute units. Each unit consists of interviews with ethics experts, excerpts of focus groups with mental health consumers who have participated in research, and commentaries by the producer and director, James DuBois [Ph.D., D.Sc.] and Jean Campbell [Ph.D.]. The unit topics include: history of human subjects abuses; risks and benefits in study design; informed consent; decision-making capacity; recruitment; cultural competence; privacy & confidentiality; and conflicts of interest." [description from the cassette The DVD series is supported by <http://www.emhr.net>, a webpage with additional materials, case studies, and links to important reference documents.

---




Article Document 111

Burkell, Jacquelyn

**Anonymity in behavioural research: not being unnamed, but being unknown**

University of Ottawa Law and Technology Journal 2006; 3(1): 189-204



Georgetown users check [Georgetown Journal Finder](#) for access to full text

---



\*  Chapter Document 112

Owen, Michael

**Ethical review of social and behavioral science research**

In: Kulakowski, Elliott C.; Chronister, Lynne U., eds. Research Administration and Management. Sudbury, MA: Jones and Bartlett, 2006: 543-556

Call number: [Q180 .U5 R3816 2006](#)

---



\*  Chapter Document 113

DuBois, James M.

**Ethics in behavioral and social science research**

In: Iltis, Ana Smith, ed. Research Ethics. New York: Routledge, 2006: 102-120

Call number: [R853 .H8 R45 2006](#)

---



\*  Article Document 114

Kralik, Debbie; Warren, Jim; Price, Kay; Koch, Tina; Pignone, Gino

**The ethics of research using electronic mail discussion groups**

Journal of Advanced Nursing 2005 December; 52(5): 537-545



Georgetown users check [Georgetown Journal Finder](#) for access to full text

---



\*  Article Document 115

Barai-Jaitly, Tejal

**Use the data but take consent [case study]**

Indian Journal of Medical Ethics 2005 October-December; 2(4): 131



Georgetown users check [Georgetown Journal Finder](#) for access to full text

<http://www.issuesinmedicaethics.org> (link may be outdated)

---



\*  **Article** Document 116

Sriram, Sujata

**Data can be used with safeguards [case study]**

Indian Journal of Medical Ethics 2005 October-December; 2(4): 130



Georgetown users check [Georgetown Journal Finder](#) for access to full text

<http://www.issuesinmedicaethics.org> (link may be outdated)

---



\*  **Article** Document 117

Deosthali, Padma

**Should case documentations be used for research? [case study]**

Indian Journal of Medical Ethics 2005 October-December; 2(4): 129



Georgetown users check [Georgetown Journal Finder](#) for access to full text

<http://www.issuesinmedicaethics.org> (link may be outdated)

---



**Article** Document 118

Paley, John

**Error and objectivity: cognitive illusions and qualitative research**

Nursing Philosophy 2005 July; 6(3): 196-209



Georgetown users check [Georgetown Journal Finder](#) for access to full text

---




\*  **Article** Document 119

Tindell, Deborah R.; Bohlander, Robert W.

**Participants' naivete and confidentiality in psychological research**

Psychological Reports 2005 June; 96(3 Part 2): 963-969



Georgetown users check [Georgetown Journal Finder](#) for access to full text

---



**News** Document 120

McGrath, Patrick

**Nonelective surgery: In the early 20th century, Trenton State Hospital was the scene of unspeakable experimental treatments [review of Madhouse: A Tragic Tale of Megalomania and Modern Medicine by Andrew Scull]**

New York Times Book Review 2005 May 29; p. 18



<http://www.nytimes.com> (link may be outdated)

---



**Article** Document 121

Bingenheimer, Jeffrey B.; Brennan, Robert T.; Earls, Felton J.

**Firearm violence exposure and serious violent behavior**

Science 2005 May 27; 308(5726): 1323-1326





Georgetown users check [Georgetown Journal Finder](#) for access to full text

<http://www.sciencemag.org> (link may be outdated)

---




News Document 122

Holden, Constance

**Controversial study suggests seeing gun violence promotes it [news]**

Science 2005 May 27; 308(5726): 1239



Georgetown users check [Georgetown Journal Finder](#) for access to full text

<http://www.sciencemag.org> (link may be outdated)

---




\*  Article Document 123

Penney, Darby; McGee, Glenn

**Chemical trust: oxytocin oxymoron? [editorial]**

American Journal of Bioethics 2005 May-June; 5(3): 1-2



Georgetown users check [Georgetown Journal Finder](#) for access to full text

<http://bioethics.net> (link may be outdated)

---




\*  Article Document 124

Widom, Cathy Spatz; Czaja, Sally J.

**Reactions to research participation in vulnerable subgroups**

Accountability in Research 2005 April-June; 12(2): 115-138

**Abstract:** This paper describes the extent to which vulnerable individuals (defined by economic, social, psychological, physical health, and child maltreatment status) react to research participation. As part of an ongoing longitudinal study, participants (N=896) completed a lengthy and intrusive in-person interview and provided a small amount of blood through finger pricks. At the end of the interview, participants were asked eight questions about their reactions to the research experience. Vulnerable individuals in general agreed more strongly about having an emotional reaction, but were not less willing to continue to participate. In addition, psychologically vulnerable individuals more strongly agreed they would continue to participate, were treated with respect and dignity, and found their participation meaningful. Compared to whites, nonwhites reported stronger agreement about the meaningfulness of the research and the belief that their responses would be kept private. Like others, individuals vulnerable by virtue of their prisoner status or homelessness (past or current) agreed more strongly about having an emotional reaction to the interview, but otherwise did not differ in their reactions. These results suggest that researchers and institutional review boards should not be deterred from conducting research on sensitive topics with potentially vulnerable populations.



Georgetown users check [Georgetown Journal Finder](#) for access to full text

---




Article Document 125

Blank, Robert H.

**The brain, aggression, and public policy**

Politics and the Life Sciences 2005 March-September; 24(1-2): 12-21



Georgetown users check [Georgetown Journal Finder](#) for access to full text

<http://www.politicsandthelifesciences.org/> (link may be outdated)

---



Article Document 126

Woodsong, Cynthia; Severy, Lawrence J.

**Generation of knowledge for reproductive health technologies: constraints on social and behavioral research**

Journal of Social Issues 2005 March; 61(1): 193-205



Georgetown users check [Georgetown Journal Finder](#) for access to full text

---




\*  Article Document 127

Dunn, Laura B.; Roberts, Laura Weiss

**Emerging findings in ethics of schizophrenia research**

Current Opinion in Psychiatry 2005 March; 18(2): 111-119



Georgetown users check [Georgetown Journal Finder](#) for access to full text

---




Article Document 128

Browne, Kevin D.; Hamilton-Giachritsis, Catherine

**The influence of violent media on children and adolescents: a public-health approach**

Lancet 2005 February 19-25; 365(9460): 702-710



Georgetown users check [Georgetown Journal Finder](#) for access to full text

---

<http://www.thelancet.com/journal> (link may be outdated)

---



\*  Chapter Document 129

Stinson, Sara

**Ethical issues in human biology behavioral research and research with children.**

In: Turner, Trudy R. ed. Biological Anthropology and Ethics: From Repatriation to Genetic Identity. Albany, NY: State University of New York Press; 2005: 139-148.

Call number: [GN62 .B55 2005](#)

---



\*  Chapter Document 130

Freeman, Anthony

**Consciousness**

In: Mitcham, Carl, ed. Encyclopedia of Science, Technology, and Ethics. Farmington Hills, MI: Thomson/Gale, 2005: 410-411

Call number: [Q175.35 .E53 2005 v.1](#)

---



\*  Chapter Document 131

Koocher, Gerald P.

**Behavioral research with children: the fenfluramine challenge.**

In: Kodish, Eric, ed. Ethics and Research with Children: A Case-Based Approach. New York: Oxford University Press; 2005: 179- 193.

Call number: [RJ47 .E84 2005](#)

---



**News** Document 132

Kaiser, Jocelyn

**Report seeks stability for behavioral sciences [news]**

Science 2004 December 10; 306(5703): 1878



Georgetown users check [Georgetown Journal Finder](#) for access to full text

<http://www.sciencemag.org> (link may be outdated)

---



**Article** Document 133

Blake, Pamela; Grafman, Jordan

**The neurobiology of aggression**

Lancet 2004 December; 364(Special Issue): 12-13



Georgetown users check [Georgetown Journal Finder](#) for access to full text

<http://www.thelancet.com/journal> (link may be outdated)

---



\*  **Article** Document 134

Kilpatrick, Dean G.

**The ethics of disaster research: a special section**

Journal of Traumatic Stress 2004 October; 17(5): 361-362



Georgetown users check [Georgetown Journal Finder](#) for access to full text

---




\*  **Article** Document 135

Wolpe, Paul Root

**Ethics and social policy in research on the neuroscience of human sexuality [opinion]**

Nature Neuroscience 2004 October; 7(10): 1031-1033



Georgetown users check [Georgetown Journal Finder](#) for access to full text

---



\*  **Article** Document 136

Maloney, Dennis M.

**Student rights in research and experimental programs**

Human Research Report 2004 October; 19(10): 3



Georgetown users check [Georgetown Journal Finder](#) for access to full text

---




\*  **Article** Document 137

Bosk, Charles L.; De Vries, Raymond G.

**Bureaucracies of mass deception: institutional review boards and the ethics of ethnographic research**

Annals of the American Academy of Political and Social Science 2004 September; 595(1): 249-263



Georgetown users check [Georgetown Journal Finder](#) for access to full text

---



\*  **Article** Document 138

Hofman, Nila Ginger

**Toward critical research ethics: transforming ethical conduct in qualitative health care research**

Health Care for Women International 2004 August; 25(7): 647-662



Georgetown users check [Georgetown Journal Finder](#) for access to full text

---



\*  **Article** Document 139

Boscarino, Joseph A.; Figley, Charles R.; Adams, Richard E.; Galea, Sandro; Resnick, Heidi; Fleischman, Alan R.; Bucuvalas, Michael; Gold, Joel

**Adverse reactions associated with studying persons recently exposed to mass urban disaster**

Journal of Nervous and Mental Disease 2004 August; 192(8): 515-524



Georgetown users check [Georgetown Journal Finder](#) for access to full text

---



\*  **Article** Document 140

te Braake, Trees A.M.

**The Dutch 2002 Embryos Act and the Convention on Human Rights and Biomedicine: some issues**

European Journal of Health Law 2004 June; 11(2): 139-151



Georgetown users check [Georgetown Journal Finder](#) for access to full text

---



\*  **Article** Document 141

Labott, Susan M.; Johnson, Timothy P.

**Psychological and social risks of behavioral research**

IRB: Ethics and Human Research 2004 May-June; 26(3): 11-15



Georgetown users check [Georgetown Journal Finder](#) for access to full text

---



**News** Document 142

Tierney, John

**Using MRI's to see politics on the brain**

New York Times 2004 April 20; p. A1, A17



<http://www.nytimes.com> (link may be outdated)

---




**Article** Document 143

de Waal, Frans B.M.

**Evolutionary ethics, aggression, and violence: lessons from primate research**

Journal of Law, Medicine and Ethics 2004 Spring; 32(1): 18-23



Georgetown users check [Georgetown Journal Finder](#) for access to full text

---



Article Document 144

Sullivan, Anne-Marie

**Action research and the TCPS guidelines**

NCEHR Communique CNERH 2004 Spring; 12(2): 33-34



Georgetown users check [Georgetown Journal Finder](#) for access to full text

<http://www.ncehr-cnerh.org> (link may be outdated)

---



Article Document 145

Reid, Adela

**Constructing the 400th anniversary of European settlement in Canada**

NCEHR Communique CNERH 2004 Spring; 12(2): 31-32



Georgetown users check [Georgetown Journal Finder](#) for access to full text

<http://www.ncehr-cnerh.org> (link may be outdated)

---



Article Document 146

Marr, Bill

**Oral history and the TCPS ethics guidelines**

NCEHR Communique CNERH 2004 Spring; 12(2): 25-26



Georgetown users check [Georgetown Journal Finder](#) for access to full text

<http://www.ncehr-cnerh.org> (link may be outdated)

---




Article Document 147

Rosen, Gerald M.; Sageman, Marc; Loftus, Elizabeth

**A historical note on false traumatic memories**

Journal of Clinical Psychology 2004 January; 60(1): 137-139



Georgetown users check [Georgetown Journal Finder](#) for access to full text

---




Article Document 148

Perry, Catherine; Thurston, Miranda; Green, Ken

**Involvement and detachment in researching sexuality: reflections on the process of semistructured interviewing**

Qualitative Health Research 2004 January; 14(1): 135-148



Georgetown users check [Georgetown Journal Finder](#) for access to full text

---



\*  Article Document 149

Flicker, Sarah; Haans, Dave; Skinner, Harvey

**Ethical dilemmas in research on Internet communities**

Qualitative Health Research 2004 January; 14(1): 124-134



Georgetown users check [Georgetown Journal Finder](#) for access to full text

---



**Book** Document 150

Johns, Mark D.; Chen, Shing-Ling Sarina; and Hall, G. Jon, eds.  
ONLINE SOCIAL RESEARCH: METHODS, ISSUES, & ETHICS  
New York: Peter Lang, 2004. 273 p.

Call number: [HM571 .O55 2004](#)

---



**Chapter** Document 151

Robin, Ron Theodore

**Violent people and gentle savages: the Yanomami controversy**

In his: Scandals and Scoundrels: Seven Cases That Shook the Academy. Berkeley: University of California Press, 2004: 138-165

Call number: [PN167 .R63 2004](#)

---




\*  **Article** Document 152

Sears, David O.

**A perspective on implicit prejudice from survey research**

Psychological Inquiry 2004; 15(4): 293-297



Georgetown users check [Georgetown Journal Finder](#) for access to full text

---




\*  **Article** Document 153

Redding, Richard E.

**Bias on prejudice? The politics of research and racial prejudice**

Psychological Inquiry 2004; 15(4): 289-293



Georgetown users check [Georgetown Journal Finder](#) for access to full text

---



\*  **Chapter** Document 154

Miller, Arthur G.

**What can the Milgram obedience experiments tell us about the Holocaust? Generalizing from the social psychology laboratory**

In: Miller, Arthur G. ed. The Social Psychology of Good and Evil. New York: The Guilford Press, 2004: 193-239

---



**Chapter** Document 155

Slater, Lauren

**Obscure: Stanley Milgram and obedience to authority.**

In her: Opening Skinner's Box: Great Psychological Experiments of the Twentieth Century. New York: W.W. Norton; 2004: 32-63.

Call number: [BF198.7 .S57 2004](#)

---



\*  **Article** Document 156

DePrince, Anne P.; Allard, Carolyn B.; Oh, Hannah; Freyd, Jennifer J.

**What's in a name for memory errors? Implications and ethical issues arising from the use of the term "false memory" for errors in memory for details**

Ethics and Behavior 2004; 14(3): 201-233



Georgetown users check [Georgetown Journal Finder](#) for access to full text

---



\*  **Article** Document 157

McKeown, Robert E.; Weed, Douglas L.

**Ethical choices in survey research**

Sozial- und Praventivmedizin 2004; 49(1): 67-68



Georgetown users check [Georgetown Journal Finder](#) for access to full text

---



**Article** Document 158

Rietschel, M.; Illes, F.

**Attitudes towards psychiatric genetic research and its implication [abstract]**

American Journal of Human Genetics 2003 November; 73(5): 362



Georgetown users check [Georgetown Journal Finder](#) for access to full text

---




**Article** Document 159

Wilson, Marc Stewart

**Social dominance and ethical ideology: the end justifies the means?**

Journal of Social Psychology 2003 October; 143(5): 549-558



Georgetown users check [Georgetown Journal Finder](#) for access to full text

---



\*  **Article** Document 160

Chaitin, Julia

**"I wish he hadn't told me that": methodological and ethical issues in social trauma and conflict research**

Qualitative Health Research 2003 October; 13(8): 1145-1154



Georgetown users check [Georgetown Journal Finder](#) for access to full text

---



\*  **Article** Document 161

Oquendo, Maria A.; Mann, J. John

**Intervention research for suicidal behavior**

Lancet 2003 September 13; 362(9387): 844-845



Georgetown users check [Georgetown Journal Finder](#) for access to full text

<http://www.thelancet.com/journal> (link may be outdated)

---



\*  **News** Document 162

**'Stutterers' sue over research study [news]**



Georgetown users check [Georgetown Journal Finder](#) for access to full text

---




Article Document 163

Thomas, Sandy

**Genetics and human behaviour: the ethical context [abstract]**

Journal of Medical Genetics 2003 September; 40(Supplement 1): S47



Georgetown users check [Georgetown Journal Finder](#) for access to full text

---




\*  Article Document 164

Tyrer, P.; Seivewright, H.; Ferguson, B.; Johnson, T.

**"Cold calling" in psychiatric follow up studies: is it justified?**

Journal of Medical Ethics 2003 August; 29(4): 238-242

**Abstract:** BACKGROUND: The ethics of cold calling-visiting subjects at home without prior appointment agreed-in follow up research studies has received little attention although it is perceived to be quite common. We examined the ethical implications of cold calling in a study of subjects with defined neurotic disorders followed up 12 years after initial assessment carried out to determine outcome in terms of symptoms, social functioning, and contact with health services. The patients concerned were asked at original assessment if they would agree to be followed up subsequently and although they agreed no time limit was put on this. OBJECTIVES: To decide if cold calling was ethically justifiable and, if so, to set guidelines for researchers. DESIGN: The study was a cohort study of patients with neurotic disorder treated initially for 10 weeks in a randomised controlled trial. FINDINGS: At follow up by a research medical practitioner 18 of the 210 patients had died and of the remaining 192 patients 186 (97%) were seen or had a telephone interview. Four patients refused and two others did not have interviews but agreed to some data being obtained. However, only 104 patients (54%) responded to letters inviting them to make an appointment or to refuse contact and the remainder were followed up by cold calling, with most patients agreeing readily to the research interview. The findings illustrate the dilemma of the need to get the maximum possible data from such studies to achieve scientific validity (and thereby justify the ethics of the study) and the protection of subjects' privacy and autonomy. CONCLUSIONS: More attention needs to be paid to consent procedures if cold calling is to be defended on ethical grounds but it is unreasonable to expect this to be obtained at the beginning of a research study in a way that satisfies the requirements for informed consent. A suggested way forward is to obtain written consent for the research at the time that cold calling takes place before beginning the research.



Georgetown users check [Georgetown Journal Finder](#) for access to full text

---

<http://www.jmedethics.com> (link may be outdated)

---



\*  Article Document 165

Wilson, Robin

**Transsexual 'subjects' complain about professor's research methods**

Chronicle of Higher Education 2003 July 25; 49(46): A10



Georgetown users check [Georgetown Journal Finder](#) for access to full text

---




\*  Article Document 166

Kleiman, Mark

**The 'brain disease' idea, drug policy and research ethics**

Addiction 2003 July; 98(7): 871-872





Georgetown users check [Georgetown Journal Finder](#) for access to full text

---



\*  Article Document 167

Maloney, Dennis M.

**Institutional review boards and social science research**

Human Research Report 2003 July; 18(7): 1-2



Georgetown users check [Georgetown Journal Finder](#) for access to full text

---



News Document 168

**Spare parts for the brain**

Economist 2003 June 21; 367(8329): 20-21



<http://www.economist.com> (link may be outdated)

---




\*  Article Document 169

Griffin, Michael G.; Resick, Patricia A.; Waldrop, Angela E.; Mechanic, Mindy B.

**Participation in trauma research: is there evidence of harm?**

Journal of Traumatic Stress 2003 June; 16(3): 221-228



Georgetown users check [Georgetown Journal Finder](#) for access to full text

---




\*  Article Document 170

Wilson, Robin

**When should a scholar's notes be confidential? An anthropologist involved in a medical lawsuit says she'll go to jail rather than turn hers over**

Chronicle of Higher Education 2003 May 16; 49(36): A10-A12



Georgetown users check [Georgetown Journal Finder](#) for access to full text

---




\*  Article Document 171

Wilson, Robin

**Penn anthropologist fights subpoenas for field notes in medical case**

Chronicle of Higher Education 2003 March 21; 49(28): A14



Georgetown users check [Georgetown Journal Finder](#) for access to full text

---




Article Document 172

Huesmann, L. Rowell; Moise-Titus, Jessica; Podolski, Cheryl- Lynn; Eron, Leonard D.

**Longitudinal relations between children's exposure to TV violence and their aggressive and violent behavior in young adulthood: 1977-1992**

Developmental Psychology 2003 March; 39(2): 201-221



Georgetown users check [Georgetown Journal Finder](#) for access to full text

---



\*  **Article** Document 173

Elam, Gillian; Fenton, Kevin A.

**Researching sensitive issues and ethnicity: lessons from sexual health**

Ethnicity and Health 2003 February; 8(1): 15-27



Georgetown users check [Georgetown Journal Finder](#) for access to full text

---



\*  **Article** Document 174

Cain, Harry I.; Harkness, Jennifer L.; Smith, Angela L.; Markowski, Edward Mel

**Protecting persons in family therapy research: an overview of ethical and regulatory standards**

Journal of Marital and Family Therapy 2003 January; 29(1): 47- 57



Georgetown users check [Georgetown Journal Finder](#) for access to full text

---



**Article** Document 175

Hastings, R.P.; Tombs, A.K H., Monzani, L.C.; Boulton, H.V.N.

**Determinants of negative emotional reactions and casual beliefs about self-injurious behaviour: an experimental study**

Journal of Intellectual Disability Research 2003 January; 47(part 1): 59-67



Georgetown users check [Georgetown Journal Finder](#) for access to full text

---



\*  **Book** Document 176

Adshead, Gwen and Brown, Christine, eds.

**ETHICAL ISSUES IN FORENSIC MENTAL HEALTH RESEARCH**

London/New York: Jessica Kingsley Publishers, 2003. 144 p.

Call number: [RA1151 .E86 2003](#)

---



\*  **Book** Document 177

Thomas, Jay C. and Hersen, Michel, eds.

**UNDERSTANDING RESEARCH IN CLINICAL AND COUNSELING PSYCHOLOGY**

Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates, 2003. 482 p.

Call number: [RC467 .U53 2003](#)

---



\*  **Chapter** Document 178

Faden, Pamela; Minkler, Meredith; Perry, Martha; Blum, Klaus; Moore, Leroy; Rogers, Judith

**Ethical challenges in community based participatory research: a case study from the San Francisco Bay area disability community.**

In: Minkler, Meredith; Wallerstein, Nina, eds. Community Based Participatory Research for Health. San Francisco, CA: Jossey-Bass; 2003: 242-262.

Call number: [RA440.85 .C65 2003](#)

---



\*  **Chapter** Document 179

Mize, Selene

**Non-disclosing and deceptive research design.**

In: Dawson, John; Peart, Nicola, eds. *The Law of Research: A Guide*. Dunedin, NZ: University of Otago Press; 2003: 253-267.

Call number: [KUQ1672 .L39 2003](#)

---



Chapter Document 180

Lefkowitz, Joel

**Research ethics: II. The use of deception.**

In his: *Ethics and Values in Industrial-Organizational Psychology*. Mahwah, N.J.: Lawrence Erlbaum; 2003: 359-383.

Call number: [HF5548.8 .L3644 2003](#)

---



Chapter Document 181

Panel on Institutional Review Boards, Surveys, and Social Science Research

**Enhancing confidentiality and protection.**

In: Citro, Constance F.; Ilgen, Daniel R.; Marrett, Cora B., eds. *Protecting Participants and Facilitating Social and Behavioral Sciences Research*. Washington, DC: National Academies Press; 2003: 113-141.

Call number: [HD62 .P763 2003](#)

---



\*  Chapter Document 182

Fleischman, Alan R.; Wood, Emily B.

**Research involving victims of terror--ethical considerations.**

In: Moreno, Jonathan D., ed. *In the Wake of Terror: Medicine and Morality in a Time of Crisis*. Cambridge, Mass.: MIT Press; 2003: 185-197.

Call number: [R725.5 .I5 2003](#)

---




Article Document 183

Tselis, Alex; Booss, John

**Behavioral consequences of infections of the central nervous system: with emphasis on viral infections**

*Journal of the American Academy of Psychiatry and the Law* 2003; 31(3): 289-298



Georgetown users check [Georgetown Journal Finder](#) for access to full text

---




\*  Article Document 184

Kaminsky, Alexis; Roberts, Laura Weiss; Brody, Janet L.

**Influences upon willingness to participate in schizophrenia research: an analysis of narrative data from 63 people with schizophrenia**

*Ethics and Behavior* 2003; 13(3): 279-302

**Abstract:** Schizophrenia affects more than 1% of the world's population, causing great personal suffering and socioeconomic burden. These costs associated with schizophrenia necessitate inquiry into the causes and treatment of the illness but generate ethical challenges related to the specific nature and deficits of the illness itself. In this article, we present a systematic analysis of narrative data from 63 people living with the illness of schizophrenia collected through semistructured interviews about their attitudes, beliefs, and experiences related to psychiatric research. In the comments of these individuals, half of whom had had prior personal experience in research protocols, we identified factors influencing openness toward research involvement as well as deterrents that appear to lessen interest in participation. Clear response pattern differences emerged between those with prior research experience and those without such experience. In the discussion, we explore the key findings and outline the implications for safeguards in mental illness research.



Georgetown users check [Georgetown Journal Finder](#) for access to full text

---



\*  Article Document 185

Keller, Heidi E.; Lee, Sandra

**Ethical issues surrounding human participants research using the Internet**

Ethics and Behavior 2003; 13(3): 211-219

**Abstract:** The Internet appears to offer psychologists doing research unrestricted access to infinite amounts and types of data. However, the ethical issues surrounding the use of data and data collection methods are challenging research review boards at many institutions. This article illuminates some of the obstacles facing researchers who wish to take advantage of the Internet's flexibility. The applications of the APA ethical codes for conducting research on human participants on the Internet are reviewed. The principle of beneficence, as well as privacy and confidentiality, informed consent, deception, and avoiding harm are all illustrated through the use of a hypothetical online study.



Georgetown users check [Georgetown Journal Finder](#) for access to full text

---



\*  Article Document 186

Eveleth, Daniel M.; Pillutla, Arun

**Task demands, task interest, and task performance: implications for human subjects research and practicing what we preach**

Ethics and Behavior 2003; 13(2): 153-172

**Abstract:** Through the continuous investigation of humans in organizations, we have learned much about motivation, attitudes, and performance. For example, Yukl and others have helped increase our understanding of influence tactics and the effect they have on the performance of subordinates, supervisors, and peers. Some tactics (and combinations of tactics) lead to resistance, some lead to compliance, and some lead to commitment. In this study, we raise the question of whether or not we incorporate our knowledge of these research findings into the design, implementation, and interpretation of our own research studies that require the participation of human subjects. In a survey of 134 subjects from a previous social science study, we found that performance varied across the sample, consistent with the concepts of resistance, compliance, and commitment. In addition, the variance in performance could be explained, in part, by task interest and perceived task demands. Implications are discussed.



Georgetown users check [Georgetown Journal Finder](#) for access to full text

---



\*  Article Document 187

Taylor, Holly A.; Kass, Nancy E.

**Attending to local justice: lessons from pediatric HIV**

IRB: Ethics and Human Research 2002 November-December; 24(6): 9-17



Georgetown users check [Georgetown Journal Finder](#) for access to full text

---




\*  Article Document 188

Oakes, J. Michael

**Risks and wrongs in social science research: an evaluator's guide to the IRB**

Evaluation Review 2002 October; 26(5): 443-479



Georgetown users check [Georgetown Journal Finder](#) for access to full text

---



Article Document 189

Takeuchi, David T.; Kramer, Elizabeth J.

**Mental health services research in Asian Americans [editorial]**

WJM: Western Journal of Medicine 2002 September; 176(4): 225- 226



Georgetown users check [Georgetown Journal Finder](#) for access to full text

<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/journals/183/> (link may be outdated)

---



\*  **Article** Document 190

Fisher, Celia B.; Pearson, Jane L.; Kim, Scott; Reynolds, Charles F.

**Ethical issues in including suicidal individuals in clinical research**

IRB: Ethics and Human Research 2002 September-October; 24(5): 9-14



Georgetown users check [Georgetown Journal Finder](#) for access to full text

---




\*  **Article** Document 191

North, Carol S.; Pfefferbaum, Betty; Tucker, Phebe

**Ethical and methodological issues in academic mental health research in populations affected by disasters: the Oklahoma City experience relevant to September 11, 2001**

CNS Spectrums 2002 August; 7(8): 580-584



Georgetown users check [Georgetown Journal Finder](#) for access to full text


---



**News** Document 192

**Report pronounces on anthropology misconduct [news brief]**

Nature 2002 July 11; 418(6894): 118



Georgetown users check [Georgetown Journal Finder](#) for access to full text

---



\*  **Article** Document 193

Merz, Jon F.; Rebbeck, Timothy R.; Sankar, Pamela; Meagher, Emma A.

**Pilot study: does the white coat influence research participation?**

IRB: Ethics and Human Research 2002 July-August; 24(4): 6-8



Georgetown users check [Georgetown Journal Finder](#) for access to full text

---



\*  **Article** Document 194

Dresser, Rebecca

**The ubiquity and utility of the therapeutic misconception**

Social Philosophy and Policy 2002 Summer; 19(2): 271-294



Georgetown users check [Georgetown Journal Finder](#) for access to full text

---



**News** Document 195

Adam, David

**Reality TV show recreates famed social study [Philip Zimbardo] [news]**

Nature 2002 May 16; 417(6886): 213



Georgetown users check [Georgetown Journal Finder](#) for access to full text



\*  **Article** Document 196

Church, Jonathan T.; Shopes, Linda; Blanchard, Margaret A.

**For the record: should all disciplines be subject to the Common Rule? Human subjects in social science research**

Academe 2002 May-June; 88(3): 11 p. [Online]. <http://plinks.ebscohost.com/ehost/delivery?id=12&hid=102&sid=8c8f3742-ec0b...> [16 October 2006]



Georgetown users check [Georgetown Journal Finder](#) for access to full text

<http://plinks.ebscohost.com/ehost/delivery?id=12&hid=102&sid=8c8f3742-ec0b...> (link may be outdated)

---




\*  **Article** Document 197

Engelhardt, H. Tristram, Jr.

**Germline engineering: the moral challenges**

American Journal of Medical Genetics 2002 March 1; 108(2): 169-175



Georgetown users check [Georgetown Journal Finder](#) for access to full text

---



\*  **Article** Document 198

Pittenger, David J.

**Preserving the ethical propriety of statistical devices**

Journal of Psychology 2002 March; 136(2): 117-124



Georgetown users check [Georgetown Journal Finder](#) for access to full text

---



\*  **Article** Document 199

Risjord, Mark; Greenberg, Judith

**When IRBs [institutional review boards] disagree: waiving parental consent for sexual health research on adolescents [case study]**

IRB: Ethics and Human Research 2002 March-April; 24(2): 8-14



Georgetown users check [Georgetown Journal Finder](#) for access to full text

---




**News** Document 200

McLellan, Faith

**Do violent movies make violent children? [news]**

Lancet 2002 February 9; 359(9305): 502



Georgetown users check [Georgetown Journal Finder](#) for access to full text

<http://www.thelancet.com/journal> (link may be outdated)

---




\*  **Article** Document 201

Evans, M.; Robling, M.; Rapport, F. Maggs; Houston, H.; Kinnersley, P.; Wilkinson, C.

**It doesn't cost anything just to ask, does it? The ethics of questionnaire-based research**

Journal of Medical Ethics 2002 February; 28(1): 41-44

**Abstract:** Patient-based outcome measures are increasingly important in health care evaluations, often through the use of paper-based questionnaires. The likely impact of questionnaires upon patients is not often considered and therefore, the balance of benefit and harm not fully explored. Harms that might accrue for research staff are even less frequently considered. This paper describes the use of postal questionnaires within a study of breast disease management in primary care. Questionnaire responses are used to describe the nature of discomfort or harms that may occur in such studies. Ethical issues raised by the harms are discussed in relation to the benefits of the study. Practical suggestions for reducing harm to patients are proposed. A secondary consideration, discomfort to the researcher, is also identified and suggestions made to reduce its effect. Finally, the role of research questionnaires as a study intervention is discussed.



Georgetown users check [Georgetown Journal Finder](#) for access to full text

---



\*  **Article** Document 202

Storosum, Jitschak; van Zwieten, Barbara; de Haan, Lieuwe

**Informed consent from behaviourally disturbed patients [letter]**

Lancet 2002 January 5; 359(9300): 83



Georgetown users check [Georgetown Journal Finder](#) for access to full text

---

<http://www.thelancet.com> (link may be outdated)

---



\*  **Book** Document 203

Mauthner, Melanie; Birch, Maxine; Jessop, Julie; and Miller, Tina, eds.

ETHICS IN QUALITATIVE RESEARCH

London/Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage, 2002. 172 p.

Call number: [H62 .E777 2002](#)

---



\*  **Book** Document 204

Wolcott, Harry F.

SNEAKY KID AND ITS AFTERMATH: ETHICS AND INTIMACY IN FIELDWORK

Walnut Creek, CA: AltaMira Press, 2002. 222 p.

Call number: [LB45 .W65 2002](#)

---



\*  **File** Document 205

Lebech, Anne Mette Maria

**What is bio-ethics?**

Maynooth Philosophical Papers 1 2002: 51-56 [Online] Accessed: [http://eprints.nuim.ie/archive/00000028/02/What\\_is\\_Bioethics.pdf](http://eprints.nuim.ie/archive/00000028/02/What_is_Bioethics.pdf) [2008 May 22]



[http://eprints.nuim.ie/archive/00000028/02/What\\_is\\_Bioethics.pdf](http://eprints.nuim.ie/archive/00000028/02/What_is_Bioethics.pdf) (link may be outdated)

---



\*  **Chapter** Document 206

Macklin, Ruth

**Unresolved issues in social science medicine.**

In: Lolas Stepke, Fernando; Agar Corbinos, Lorenzo, eds. Interfaces Between Bioethics and the Empirical Social Sciences. Buenos Aires, Argentina: World Health Organization; 2002: 67-78.

Call number: [QH333 .I57 2002](#)

---



\*  Article Document 207

Churchill, Frederick B.

**The evolutionary ethics of Alfred C. Kinsey**

History and Philosophy of the Life Sciences 2002; 24(3-4): 391-411



Georgetown users check [Georgetown Journal Finder](#) for access to full text

---



\*  Chapter Document 208

Mills, Erin

**Hazel the dental assistant and the research dilemma of (re)presenting a life story: the clash of narratives.**

In: van den Hoonaard, Will C., ed. Walking the Tightrope: Ethical Issues for Qualitative Researchers. Toronto: University of Toronto Press; 2002: 107-123.

Call number: [H62 .W235 2002](#)

---



\*  Chapter Document 209

Thompson, S. Anthony

**My research friend? My friend the researcher? My friend, my researcher? Mis/informed consent and people with developmental disabilities.**

In: van den Hoonaard, Will C., ed. Walking the Tightrope: Ethical Issues for Qualitative Researchers. Toronto: University of Toronto Press; 2002: 95-106.

Call number: [H62 .W235 2002](#)

---



\*  Chapter Document 210

Pearce, Melanie

**Challenging the system: rethinking ethics review of social research in Britain's National Health Service.**

In: van den Hoonaard, Will C., ed. Walking the Tightrope: Ethical Issues for Qualitative Researchers. Toronto: University of Toronto Press; 2002: 43-58.

Call number: [H62 .W235 2002](#)

---



\*  Chapter Document 211

Pollard, Robert Q.

**Ethical conduct in research involving deaf people.**

In: Gutman, Virginia, ed. Ethics in Mental Health and Deafness. Washington, DC: Galludet University Press; 2002: 162-178.

Call number: [RC451.4 .D4 E86 2002](#)

---




Article Document 212

Barton, Kevin E.

**Game over! Legal responses to video game violence**

Notre Dame Journal of Law, Ethics & Public Policy 2002; 16(1): 133-171



Georgetown users check [Georgetown Journal Finder](#) for access to full text

---





\*  Article Document 213

Pittenger, David J.

**Deception in research: distinctions and solutions from the perspective of utilitarianism**

Ethics and Behavior 2002; 12(2): 117-142

**Abstract:** The use of deception in psychological research continues to be a controversial topic. Using Rawls's explication of utilitarianism, I attempt to demonstrate how professional organizations, such as the American Psychological Association, can provide more specific standards that determine the permissibility of deception in research. Specifically, I argue that researchers should examine the costs and benefits of creating and applying specific rules governing deception. To that end, I offer 3 recommendations. First, that researchers who use deception provide detailed accounts of the procedures they used to minimize the harm created by deception in their research reports. Second, that the American Psychological Association offer a definition of deception that describes techniques commonly used in research. Finally, I recommend that the informed consent procedure be revised to indicate that the researcher may use deception as a part of the study.



Georgetown users check [Georgetown Journal Finder](#) for access to full text

---




\*  Article Document 214

Alvidrez, Jennifer; Arean, Patricia A.

**Psychosocial treatment research with ethnic minority populations: ethical considerations in conducting clinical trials**

Ethics and Behavior 2002; 12(1): 103-116

**Abstract:** Because of historical mistreatment of ethnic minorities by research and medical institutions, it is particularly important for researchers to be mindful of ethical issues that arise when conducting research with ethnic minority populations. In this article, we focus on the ethical issues related to the inclusion of ethnic minorities in clinical trials of psychosocial treatments. We highlight 2 factors, skepticism and mistrust by ethnic minorities about research and current inequities in the mental health care system, that researchers should consider when developing psychosocial interventions studies that include ethnic minorities.



Georgetown users check [Georgetown Journal Finder](#) for access to full text

---




\*  Article Document 215

Arean, Patricia A.; Alvidrez, Jennifer

**Ethical considerations in psychotherapy effectiveness research: choosing the comparison group**

Ethics and Behavior 2002; 12(1): 63-73

**Abstract:** The primary purpose behind effectiveness research is to determine whether a treatment with demonstrated efficacy has utility when administered to the general population. The main questions these studies are meant to answer are these: Can the typical patient respond to treatment? Is the treatment acceptable to the typical patient? Can the treatment be administered safely and in its entirety in the typical treatment setting? Is the treatment under study significantly better than the community standard of care both from and a cost and outcome perspective? Answering these questions is meant to provide sufficient information to providers and policymakers so that effective interventions can be adopted and become the new community standard. For this research to make a meaningful impact on a provider and policymaker's decision to change the status quo, study interventions should be compared to the existing community standard of treatment, often referred to as treatment as usual (TAU). From an ethical perspective, this decision may not always be the safest choice. In some populations, TAU may mean no treatment at all, and in others TAU may be worse than withholding treatment. The effectiveness researcher is then caught between the pull to do no harm and the need for research to have an impact on change. The purpose of this article is to highlight certain conditions when TAU is ethically acceptable and to discuss alternatives when TAU may be an unethical treatment condition. For purposes of precision, we focus exclusively on psychotherapy effectiveness research rather than system-intervention research or medication-intervention research.



Georgetown users check [Georgetown Journal Finder](#) for access to full text

---



\*  **Article** Document 216

Castonguay, Louis G.

**Controlling is not enough: the importance of measuring the process and specific effectiveness of psychotherapy treatment and control conditions**

Ethics and Behavior 2002; 12(1): 31-42

**Abstract:** The major argument of this article is that failing to measure what is taking place in treatment and control conditions can lead to scientifically invalid conclusions. It is argued that researchers are ethically responsible for being aware that variables related to the therapist, client, and the therapeutic relationship (as well as their interaction) might play a confounding role when treatment and control conditions are compared. As a consequence, they should either measure those variables or be tentative in their interpretation of their findings.



Georgetown users check [Georgetown Journal Finder](#) for access to full text

---



\*  **Article** Document 217

Street, Linda L.; Luoma, Jason B.

**Control groups in psychosocial intervention research: ethical and methodological issues**

Ethics and Behavior 2002; 12(1): 1-30

**Abstract:** This article summarizes a National Institute of Mental Health (NIMH) workshop that was convened to address the ethical and methodological issues that arise when conducting controlled psychosocial interventions research and introduces 6 thoughtful and inspiring papers presented by workshop participants. These papers, on topics ranging from informed consent to ethnic minority issues, reflect the depth and breadth of expertise represented by the multidisciplinary group of scientists and ethicists present at the meeting. More extensive follow-up, particularly from federal research applications and publications, of how investigators balance the need for strong research design with ethical considerations may help advance the science of psychosocial intervention research.



Georgetown users check [Georgetown Journal Finder](#) for access to full text

---




**Article** Document 218

Preloran, H. Mabel; Browner, Carole H.; Lieber, Eli

**Strategies for motivating Latino couples' participation in qualitative health research and their effects on sample construction**

American Journal of Public Health 2001 November; 91(11): 1832- 1841



Georgetown users check [Georgetown Journal Finder](#) for access to full text


---



**Article** Document 219

**Museum Seeks Scientists to Experiment on the Public**

Nature 2001 August 16; 412(6848): 671



Georgetown users check [Georgetown Journal Finder](#) for access to full text

---




\*  **Article** Document 220

Birmingham, Karen

**Dark clouds over Toronto psychiatry research [news]**

Nature Medicine 2001 June; 7(6): 643



Georgetown users check [Georgetown Journal Finder](#) for access to full text

---




**News** Document 221

Kluger, Jeffrey

**Can Gays Switch Sides?**

Time 2001 May 21; 157(20): 62



<http://www.time.com> (link may be outdated)

---




\*  **Article** Document 222

Ravindran, T.K. Sundari; Ramanathan, Mala; Alex, Shiney C.

**A community-based study on induced abortions: some unanswered questions [letter]**

Issues in Medical Ethics 2001 April-June; 9(2): 36-37



Georgetown users check [Georgetown Journal Finder](#) for access to full text

<http://www.medicalethicsindia.org> (link may be outdated)

---




**Article** Document 223

Abbott, Alison

**Into the Mind of a Killer [news]**

Nature 2001 March 15; 410(6826): 296-298



Georgetown users check [Georgetown Journal Finder](#) for access to full text

---



**Book** Document 224

**[Clinical Hypnosis and Informed Consent]**

American Journal of Clinical Hypnosis 43(3-4): 291-327, January-April 2001

Call number: [Special Issue shelf](#)

---




\*  **Article** Document 225

Abraham, Leena

**Ethical and methodological conflicts in sexuality research**

Issues in Medical Ethics 2001 January-March; 9(1): 9-11



Georgetown users check [Georgetown Journal Finder](#) for access to full text

<http://www.medicalethicsindia.org> (link may be outdated)

---




\*  **Article** Document 226

Ganatra, Bela; Hirve, Siddhi

**A community-based study on induced abortions**

Issues in Medical Ethics 2001 January-March; 9(1): 7-8



Georgetown users check [Georgetown Journal Finder](#) for access to full text

<http://www.medicalethicsindia.org> (link may be outdated)




Article Document 227

Frischholz, Edward J.

**Different perspectives on informed consent and clinical hypnosis**

American Journal of Clinical Hypnosis 2001 January-April; 43(3-4): 323-327



Georgetown users check [Georgetown Journal Finder](#) for access to full text

---




\*  Article Document 228

Lynn, Stephen Jay

**Informed consent and uninformed clinical practice: dissociation, hypnosis and false memories [author response]**

American Journal of Clinical Hypnosis 2001 January-April; 43(3-4): 311-321



Georgetown users check [Georgetown Journal Finder](#) for access to full text

---



Article Document 229

Hammond, D. Corydon; Schefflin, Alan W.; Vermetten, Eric

**Informed consent and the standard of care in the practice of clinical hypnosis [comment on Lynn]**

American Journal of Clinical Hypnosis 2001 January-April; 43(3-4): 305-310



Georgetown users check [Georgetown Journal Finder](#) for access to full text

---




Article Document 230

Spiegel, David

**Informed dissent regarding hypnosis and its not-so-hidden observers: comment on Lynn**

American Journal of Clinical Hypnosis 2001 January-April; 43(3-4): 303



Georgetown users check [Georgetown Journal Finder](#) for access to full text

---



Article Document 231

Kluft, Richard P.

**Reply to Lynn: the Trojan horse is alive and well**

American Journal of Clinical Hypnosis 2001 January-April; 43(3-4): 297-301



Georgetown users check [Georgetown Journal Finder](#) for access to full text

---




Article Document 232

Watkins, John G.

**Hypnosis, the hidden observer, and not-so-hidden consent - comment on Lynn**

American Journal of Clinical Hypnosis 2001 January-April; 43(3-4): 293-295



Georgetown users check [Georgetown Journal Finder](#) for access to full text

---



**Article** Document 233

Lynn, Steven Jay

**Hypnosis, the hidden observer, and not-so-hidden consent**

American Journal of Clinical Hypnosis 2001 January-April; 43(3-4): 291-292



Georgetown users check [Georgetown Journal Finder](#) for access to full text

---



**Article** Document 234

Solomon, Mildred Z.

**The Ethics and Efficacy of Behavior Change Research [review of AN ETHIC FOR HEALTH PROMOTION, by David R. Buchanan]**

Hastings Center Report 2001 January-February; 31(1): 43-45



Georgetown users check [Georgetown Journal Finder](#) for access to full text

---

<http://kie.georgetown.edu/nrcbl/documents/hcr/v31/h31n1p43.pdf> (link may be outdated)

---



**Book** Document 235

Romm, Norma R.A.

**ACCOUNTABILITY IN SOCIAL RESEARCH: ISSUES AND DEBATES**

New York: Kluwer Academic/Plenum, 2001. 317 p.

Call number: [H62 .R644 2001](#)

---



**Book** Document 236

Carroll, Marilyn E. and Overmier, J. Bruce, eds.

**ANIMAL RESEARCH AND HUMAN HEALTH: ADVANCING HUMAN WELFARE THROUGH BEHAVIORAL SCIENCE**

Washington, DC: American Psychological Association, 2001. 385 p.

Call number: [BF671 .A56 2001](#)

---



**Book** Document 237

National Research Council (United States). Committee on Population [and] Commission on Behavioral and Social Sciences and Education

**CELLS AND SURVEYS: SHOULD BIOLOGICAL MEASURES BE INCLUDED IN SOCIAL SCIENCE RESEARCH?**

Washington, DC: National Academy Press, 2001. 374 p.

Call number: [RA418 .C45 2001](#)

---



\*  **Chapter** Document 238

Sieber, Joan E.

**Privacy and confidentiality: as related to human research in social and behavioral science.**

In: National Bioethics Advisory Commission [NBAC]. Ethical and Policy Issues in Research Involving Human Participants. Volume II: Commissioned Papers. Rockville, MD: National Bioethics Advisory Commission [NBAC]; 2001: N1-N50.

Call number: [R853 .H8 U548 2001 v.2](#)

---



\*  Article Document 239

Patry, Philippe

**Informed consent and deception in psychological research**

Kriterion 2001; 14: 34-38



Georgetown users check [Georgetown Journal Finder](#) for access to full text

<http://www.sbg.ac.at/kriterion/documents/14/14patry.pdf> (link may be outdated)

---



Chapter Document 240

Pearlman, Robert A.; Starks, Helene E.

**Quantitative surveys.**

In: Sugarman, Jeremy; Sulmasy, Daniel P., eds. *Methods in Medical Ethics*. Washington, DC: Georgetown University Press; 2001: 192-206.

Call number: [R724 .M487 2001](#)

---



Chapter Document 241

Marshall, Patricia Loomis; Koenig, Barbara A.

**Ethnographic methods.**

In: Sugarman, Jeremy; Sulmasy, Daniel P., eds. *Methods in Medical Ethics*. Washington, DC: Georgetown University Press; 2001: 169-191.

Call number: [R724 .M487 2001](#)

---



Chapter Document 242

Hull, Sara Chandros; Taylor, Holly A.; Kass, Nancy E.

**Qualitative methods.**

In: Sugarman, Jeremy; Sulmasy, Daniel P., eds. *Methods in Medical Ethics*. Washington, DC: Georgetown University Press; 2001: 146-168.

Call number: [R724 .M487 2001](#)

---



Chapter Document 243

Cook, Alicia Skinner

**The dynamics of ethical decision making in bereavement research.**

In: Stroebe, Margaret S.; Hansson, Robert O.; Stroebe, Wolfgang; Schut, Henk, eds. *Handbook of Bereavement Research: Consequences, Coping, and Care*. Washington, DC: American Psychological Association; 2001: 119-142.

Call number: [BF575 .G7 H355 2001](#)

---



Chapter Document 244

Bosk, Charles L.

**Irony, ethnography, and informed consent.**

In: Hoffmaster, Barry, ed. *Bioethics in Social Context*. Philadelphia: Temple University Press; 2001: 199-220.

Call number: [R724 .B4826 2001](#)

---



\*  Article Document 245

Herrera, C. D.

**Ethics, deception, and 'Those Milgram experiments'**

Journal of Applied Philosophy 2001; 18(3): 245-256



Georgetown users check [Georgetown Journal Finder](#) for access to full text

---



\*  Article Document 246

Hadjistavropoulos, Thomas; Smythe, William E.

**Elements of Risk in Qualitative Research**

Ethics & Behavior 2001; 11(2): 163-174



Georgetown users check [Georgetown Journal Finder](#) for access to full text

---



\*  Article Document 247

Forsyth, Donelson R.; Rubin, Zick

**Conducting Research on Academic Dishonesty**

Ethics and Behavior 2001; 11(3): 356-363



Georgetown users check [Georgetown Journal Finder](#) for access to full text

---




\*  Article Document 248

Reynolds, Don

**Protecting the human subjects of social science research -- The role of institutional review boards**

Bioethics Forum 2000 Winter; 16(4): 31-36



Georgetown users check [Georgetown Journal Finder](#) for access to full text


---



News Document 249

**Silver Lining [Gelsinger] [news brief]**

Science 2000 November 10; 290(5494): 1065



Georgetown users check [Georgetown Journal Finder](#) for access to full text

---



\*  Article Document 250

Proctor, Robert N.

**Anthropologists Under Fire [review of DARKNESS IN EL DORADO: HOW SCIENTISTS AND JOURNALISTS DEVASTATED THE AMAZON, by Patrick Tierney]**

Nature 2000 November 9; 408(6809): 137-139



Georgetown users check [Georgetown Journal Finder](#) for access to full text

---




Article Document 251

Robson, Maggie; Cook, Peter; Hunt, Kathy; Alred, Geof

**Towards Ethical Decision-Making in Counselling Research**

British Journal of Guidance and Counselling 2000 November; 28(4): 533-547



Georgetown users check [Georgetown Journal Finder](#) for access to full text

---



**Article** Document 252

Gardner, Freda V.

**Self-efficacy: Understanding a Psychological Concept Which Can Improve the Quality of Health Care**

Cardiology in the Young 2000 November; 10(6): 557-559



Georgetown users check [Georgetown Journal Finder](#) for access to full text

---



\*  **Article** Document 253

Maloney, Dennis M.

**Relatives of Subjects Claim that Researcher Indifference Led to Harm [case study and commentary]**

Human Research Report 2000 November; 15(11): 5-6



Georgetown users check [Georgetown Journal Finder](#) for access to full text

---



\*  **Article** Document 254

Madhiwalla, Neha

**National meeting on ethical guidelines for social science research**

Issues in Medical Ethics 2000 October-December; 8(4): 131



Georgetown users check [Georgetown Journal Finder](#) for access to full text

<http://www.medicalethicsindia.org> (link may be outdated)

---



\*  **Article** Document 255

Marshall, Eliot

**A Ruckus Over Releasing Images of the Human Brain [news]**

Science 2000 September 1; 289(5484): 1458-1459



Georgetown users check [Georgetown Journal Finder](#) for access to full text

<http://www.sciencemag.org> (link may be outdated)

---



**Article** Document 256

Walsh, David

**Interactive Media Violence and Children**

Minnesota Medicine 2000 September; 83(9): 42-44



Georgetown users check [Georgetown Journal Finder](#) for access to full text

---




**Article** Document 257

Stapleton, Stephanie

**Media Violence: The Harsh Reality**

Minnesota Medicine 2000 September; 83(9): 28-30



Georgetown users check [Georgetown Journal Finder](#) for access to full text

---





Book Document 258

**Special Issue: The Need for Experimental Research in Criminal Justice Settings**

CRIME & DELINQUENCY 46(3): 291-434, July 2000



Article Document 259

Boruch, Robert F.; Victor, Timothy; Cecil, Joe S.

**Resolving Ethical and Legal Problems in Randomized Experiments**

Crime & Delinquency 2000 July; 46(3): 330-353



Georgetown users check [Georgetown Journal Finder](#) for access to full text



Article Document 260

Oakley, Ann

**A Historical Perspective on the Use of Randomized Trials in Social Science Settings**

Crime & Delinquency 2000 July; 46(3): 315-329



Georgetown users check [Georgetown Journal Finder](#) for access to full text




\*  Article Document 261

Jewkes, Rachel; Watts, Charlotte; Abrahams, Naeema; Penn-Kekana, Loveday; Garcia-Moreno, Claudia

**Ethical and methodological issues in conducting research on gender-based violence in Southern Africa**

Reproductive Health Matters 2000 May; 8(15): 93-103



Georgetown users check [Georgetown Journal Finder](#) for access to full text



\*  Article Document 262

Centre for Enquiry into Health and Allied Themes

**Ethics in social sciences and health research: a draft code of conduct**

Issues in Medical Ethics 2000 April-June; 8(2): 53-57



Georgetown users check [Georgetown Journal Finder](#) for access to full text

<http://www.medicalethicsindia.org> (link may be outdated)



\*  Article Document 263

Khan, Arif; Warner, Heather A.; Brown, Walter A.

**Symptom Reduction and Suicide Risk in Patients Treated with Placebo in Antidepressant Clinical Trials: An Analysis of the Food and Drug Administration Database [news]**

Archives of General Psychiatry 2000 April; 57(4): 311-317



Georgetown users check [Georgetown Journal Finder](#) for access to full text



Article Document 264

Joseph, Jay

**Inaccuracy and bias in textbooks reporting psychiatric research: The case of the schizophrenia adoption studies**

Politics and the Life Sciences 2000 March; 19(1): 89-99



Georgetown users check [Georgetown Journal Finder](#) for access to full text

---



**Article** Document 265

Breggin, Peter R.

**A Critical Analysis of the NIMH Multimodal Treatment Study for Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (The MTA Study)**

Ethical Human Sciences and Services 2000 Spring; 2(1): 63-72



Georgetown users check [Georgetown Journal Finder](#) for access to full text

---



\*  **Article** Document 266

Cook-Deegan, Robert M.

**Protecting the Vulnerable in Brain Research**

Cerebrum: The Dana Forum on Brain Science 2000 Spring; 2(2): 73- 91



Georgetown users check [Georgetown Journal Finder](#) for access to full text

---



**Article** Document 267

Searle, John

**A Philosopher Unriddles the Puzzle of Consciousness**

Cerebrum: The Dana Forum on Brain Science 2000 Spring; 2(2): 44- 54



Georgetown users check [Georgetown Journal Finder](#) for access to full text

---



**Article** Document 268

Farmer, Anne E.; Owen, Michael J.; McGuffin, Peter

**Bioethics and Genetic Research in Psychiatry [editorial]**

British Journal of Psychiatry 2000 February; 176: 105-108



Georgetown users check [Georgetown Journal Finder](#) for access to full text

---




\*  **Article** Document 269

Margolis, Lew

**Ethical Principles for Analyzing Dilemmas in Sex Research [commentary]**

Health Education and Behavior 2000 February; 27(1): 24-28



Georgetown users check [Georgetown Journal Finder](#) for access to full text

---



\*  **Article** Document 270

Herrera, Chris

**Integrating Research Ethics and Undercover Hospital Fieldwork**

IRB: A Review of Human Subjects Research 2000 January-February; 22(1): 1-4



Georgetown users check [Georgetown Journal Finder](#) for access to full text

<http://kie.georgetown.edu/nrcbl/documents/irb/v22/irb22n1p01.pdf> (link may be outdated)

---



\*  **Book** Document 271

Laine, Marlene de

**FIELDWORK, PARTICIPATION AND PRACTICE: ETHICS AND DILEMMAS IN QUALITATIVE RESEARCH**

London/Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage, 2000. 231 p.

Call number: [LB2394 .L35 2000](#)

---



**Book** Document 272

Twine, France Winddance and Warren, Jonathan W., eds.

**RACING RESEARCH, RESEARCHING RACE: METHODOLOGICAL DILEMMAS IN CRITICAL RACE STUDIES**

New York: New York University Press, 2000. 281 p.

Call number: [HT1506 .R33 2000](#)

---



\*  **Book** Document 273

Lee-Treweek, Geraldine and Linkogle, Stephanie

**DANGER IN THE FIELD: RISK AND ETHICS IN SOCIAL RESEARCH**

London/New York: Routledge, 2000. 212 p.

Call number: [H62 .D36 2000](#)

---



\*  **Book** Document 274

Sales, Bruce D. and Folkman, Susan, eds.

**ETHICS IN RESEARCH WITH HUMAN PARTICIPANTS**

Washington, DC: American Psychological Association, 2000. 215 p.

Call number: [BF76.4 .S35 2000](#)

---



**Book** Document 275

Tierney, Patrick

**DARKNESS IN EL DORADO: HOW SCIENTISTS AND JOURNALISTS DEVASTED THE AMAZON**

New York: W.W. Norton, 2000. 417 p.

Call number: [F2520.1 .Y3 T54 2000](#)

---



**Article** Document 276

Smythe, William E.; Murray, Maureen J.

**Owning the Story: Ethical Considerations in Narrative Research**

Ethics and Behavior 2000; 10(4): 311-336



Georgetown users check [Georgetown Journal Finder](#) for access to full text

---




\*  Article Document 277

Brody, Janet L.; Gluck, John P.; Aragon, Alfredo S.

**Participants' Understanding of the Process of Psychological Research: Debriefing**

Ethics and Behavior 2000; 10(1): 13-25



Georgetown users check [Georgetown Journal Finder](#) for access to full text

---

Save All

Print All

